

GAHC010017232023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/478/2023

SANKAR JYOTI CHUTIA
S/O- SRI TONIK CHUTIA,
RESIDENT OF BONMUKH KATHPAR BONMUKH, SIVASAGAR,
DIST.- SIVASAGAR, ASSAM.
PIN- 785640.

VERSUS

THE ASSAM POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LIMITED AND 4 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHAIRMAN, APDCL,
BIJULEE BHAWAN, GUWAHATI-01.

2:THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
APDCL
BIJULEE BHAWAN
GUWAHATI-01.

3:CHAIRMAN OF THE SELECTION COMMITTEE-B
APDCL/AEGCL/APGCL
COMMITTEE CONSTITUTED FOR SELECTION TO THE POST OF OFFICE
CUM FIELD ASSISTANT (EXPERIENCED).
BIJULEE BHAWAN
GUWAHATI-01.

4:THE CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER
HRA
APDCL
BIJULEE BHAWAN
GUWAHATI-01.

5:THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
JORHAT ELECTRICAL CIRCLE

APDCL
JORHAT
ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. P K BORDOLOI

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, APDCL

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI

ORDER

24.07.2024

Heard Shri P.K. Bordoloi, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Shri K.P. Pathak, learned Standing Counsel, APDCL.

The matter pertains to a claim for grant of economic packages as well as regularisation of service of the petitioner, who claims to have requisite experience for the post of Sahayak. It is submitted that there has been directions of this Court to consider such cases of persons who had completed 10 years of continuous service. It is also submitted that similar persons had got relief.

Shri Pathak, the learned Standing Counsel has however submitted that pursuant to directions of this Court, the matters were considered and while the aspect of regularisation of service was negated, the pay up-gradation was done. He has also placed on record that in fact the case of the petitioner was amongst those candidates which was considered and the petitioner was given an economic package. He has placed on record a copy of the order dated 14.12.2023 in which the name of the petitioner figures against Serial No. 46 (Sankar Jyoti Chutia). The learned counsel has also informed that vide an office

order dated 02.12.2023, a Committee was constituted for considering such grievance.

Perused the said office order.

It appears that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed substantially.

In view of the above, this Court is of the opinion that there is no reason to keep this writ petition pending and accordingly the same is disposed of.

Copy of the order dated 14.12.2023 is made part of the records.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant