

GAHC010015512024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Crl.)/78/2024

AMIT PRABHAKAR TIPNIS
S/O PRABHAKAR P. TIPNIS, RESIDENT OF A-401, RAVAL TOWER, SECTION
11, CBD BELAPUR, NAVI MUMBAI-400614

VERSUS

SACHIN PRABHAKAR ADHIKARI AND ANR.
S/O- LATE PRABHAKAR ADHIKARI, R/O- 1, RAJIV GANDHI BRIDGE
NERUL, NAVI MUMBAI- 400706, MAHARASHTRA, INDIA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A M BORA

Advocate for the Respondent : MR. R B PHOOKAN

Linked Case : Bail Appln./158/2024

SACHIN PRABHAKAR ADHIKARI
S/O- LATE PRABHAKAR ADHIKARI
R/O- 1
RAJIV GANDHI BRIDGE NERUL
NAVI MUMBAI- 400706
MAHARASHTRA
INDIA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY P.P.
ASSAM

Advocate for : MR. R B PHOOKAN
Advocate for : PP
ASSAM appearing for THE STATE OF ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KAUSHIK GOSWAMI
O R D E R

01.02.2024

1. Heard Mr. T.J. Mahanta, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr. T. Gogoi for the applicant/informant. Also heard Mr. A. Desai, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr. R.B. Phukan, learned counsel for the respondent and Mr. M. Phukan, learned P.P. for the State respondent.
2. This is an application filed under Section 389 Cr.P.C of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, seeking the impleadment of the applicant/informant in the bail application No. 158/2024 as respondent/intervener.
3. I have heard the submissions made at the Bar and I have perused the grounds mentioned in the impleadment application.
4. It is submitted at the Bar that the applicant/informant was impleaded by the Apex Court in the anticipatory bail application filed by the wife of the accused/petitioner, namely, Tejaswini Sachin Adhikari pending before the Apex

Court.

5. The grounds mentioned in the impleadment application appears to be sufficient for allowing the informant to be added as an arrayed respondent in the bail application.

6. Accordingly, the prayer is allowed. The applicant/informant is accordingly impleaded as party respondent.

7. The amendment cause title be submitted in the office of the Registry and thereafter, the office of the Registrar (Judicial) shall do the needful.

8. With the above observation, this interlocutory application stands allowed.

9. This disposes of the interlocutory application.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant