

GAHC010013742013



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : RFA/61/2013

ANIMESH DUTTA and 6 ORS.
S/O LATE ANATH BANDHU DUTTA

2: SMTI RUPA DUTTA

W/O LATE ATANU DUTTA

3: SMT. ABHIRI DUTTA MINOR
D/O LATE ATANU DUTTA

4: ADITYA DUTTA MINOR
S/O LATE ATANU DUTTA
2 B AND 2 C REPRESENTED BY THEIR MOTHER AND NATURAL
GUARDIAN
SMTI RUPA DUTTA
THE APPELLANT NO. 2 A

5: SMTI ANJANA DUTTA

D/O LATE ANATH BANDHU DUTTA

6: SMTI ARPITA DUTTA

W/O SRI SIBENDRA KUMAR DUTTA AND DAUGHTER OF LATE ANATH
BANDHU DUTTA

7: SMTI ANINDITA DUTTA

W/O LATE ARUP KUMAR DUTTA

8: ANIRBAN DUTTA

9: ARINDAM DUTTA

BOTH 6 and 7 SONS OF LATE ARUP KUMAR DUTTA
ALL ARE R/O SHILLONGPATY
SILCHAR TOWN
P.S. SILCHAR
DIST. CACHAR
ASSAM

VERSUS

BASUNDHARA REALTORS PVT. LTD and ANR.
A COMPANY INCORPORATED UNDER THE COMPANIES ACT, HAVING ITS
REGISTERED OFFICE AT JAIL ROAD, SILCHAR, P.S. SILCHAR, DIST.
CACHAR, ASSAM.

2:SMTI DEEPA ROY

W/O SRI TAPASH ROY
R/O PHE COMPLEX
MEHARPUR
P.O. and P.S. SILCHAR
DIST. CACHAR
ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR.A BARKATAKI

Advocate for the Respondent : MR.B K PURKAYASTHA

:: BEFORE ::

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHIVJYOTI SAIKIA

O R D E R

11.01.2024

Heard Mr. M.K. Choudhury, the learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. P. Bharadwaj, learned counsel appearing for the appellants. Also heard Mr. B.K. Jain, the learned counsel representing the respondent nos.2.

Mr. Choudhury and Mr. Jain jointly submit that the case has been settled between the appellants and the respondent no.2. Mr. Choudhury further submits that the respondent no.1, which is a company, no longer exists.

Mr. Choudhury, therefore, submits that for this reason, he does not want to press this appeal.

After hearing the learned counsels of both sides, the prayer of Mr. Choudhury is allowed.

Accordingly, the appeal stands disposed as not pressed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant