

GAHC010010582012



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/6231/2012

SAILEN KONWAR DUTTA
S/O LT. SURENDRA NATH DUTTA HOUSE NO. 81, MOTHER TERESSA ROAD,
OPPOSITE BSF CAMP, GUWAHATI-21, ALSO REISIDING AT GROUND
FLOOR, S-98, SOUTH EXTENSION -II, NEW DELHI-49.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 4 ORS
REP. BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM,
DEPARTMENT OF HOME DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6.

2:THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE

SECURITY SPECIAL BRANCH ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GUWAHATI-19.

3:DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE

ULUBARI
GUWAHATI-7

4:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

SPECIAL BRANCH SECURITY
GUWAHATI
ASSAM.

5:THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
SPECIAL BRANCH SPECIAL BRANCH
GUWAHATI
ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MS.B BHUYAN

Advocate for the Respondent :

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA**

ORDER

Date : 09.02.2024

None appears for the petitioner on call.

Heard Mr. D. Nath, learned senior Government Advocate appears for the respondents.

It is submitted at the bar that this writ petition has become infructuous as the writ petitioner had expired in the meantime. As the writ petition was filed by the petitioner assailing the action of the respondents in withdrawing his Personal Security Protection and as during the pendency of the writ petition as it is submitted by the respondents that the writ petitioner had expired in the meantime, it is seen that no right to sue survives as the Personal Security Protection which was withdrawn only from the petitioner and no claims are made in the writ petition for such protection in respect of any other family member.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous as the petitioner is stated to have expired in the meantime.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant