

GAHC010003502012



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/905/2012

M/S. ASSAM ARUNACHAL ROAD CARRIERS and ANR.
A PROPRIETORSHIP CONCERN, HAVING ITS HEAD OFFICE AND
PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS AT SIDING BACK ROAD, P.O. and P.S.-
TINSUKIA, ASSAM, REP. BY ITS PROPRIETOR SHRI RAM SAKAL RAI.

2: RAM SAKAL RAI
S/O- LT. AGANU RAI
R/O- SIDING BACK ROAD
P.O. and P.S.- TINSUKIA ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH and ORS.
REP. BY THE SECY., DEPTT. OF FOOD and CIVIL SUPPLIES, GOVT. OF
ARUNACHAL PRADESH, ITANAGAR- 791111, ARUNACHAL PRADESH.

2:THE DIRECTOR OF CIVIL SUPPLIES
ARUNACHAL PRADESH
NAHARLAGUN- 791110
ARUNACHAL PRADESH.

3:THE DIST. SUPPLY OFFICER
LOHIT DIST.
TEZU- 792001
ARUNACHAL PRADESH.

4:THE DY. COMMISSIONER
LOHIT DIST.
TEZU- 792001
ARUNACHAL PRADESH.

5:THE ADDL. DY. COMMISSIONER
NAMSAI- 792103
ARUNACHAL PRADESH.

6:THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
FOOD CORPN. OF INDIA
BARAKHAMBA ROAD
NEW DELHI- 110001.

7:THE SENIOR REGIONAL MANAGER
FCI
REGIONAL OFFICE
G.S. ROAD
GHY- 7.

8:THE DIST. MANAGER
FCI
DIBRUGARH- 786001
ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MRA K RAI

Advocate for the Respondent : MR.P K ROY

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI**

ORDER

04.01.2024

When this matter was taken up on the last occasion i.e. 12.09.2023, the following order was passed:

“Mr. S.P. Roy, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that as the petitioner no. 2 expired on 06.06.2023, he tried to contact the legal representatives of the petitioner no. 2 to continue with the writ petition. As he has not been able to get the necessary instructions, he intends to serve retirement notice for withdrawing his name from the litigation. He has prayed for listing of the case after 6 [six] weeks.

Mr. P.K. Roy, learned Standing Counsel, FCI appearing for Mr. A. Chandran, learned Additional Senior Government Advocate, Arunachal Pradesh for

the respondent nos. 1 – 5 and Mr. P.K. Roy, learned Senior Counsel for the respondent nos. 6 – 8 are present.

Mr. Roy, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the respondent FCI authorities has submitted that in view of the death of the petitioner no. 2 who is the sole proprietor of the petitioner no. 1 proprietorship concern, the writ petition is, in essence, abated.

As sought for by Mr. Roy, learned counsel for the petitioner, list the case on 08.11.2023.”

Shri J. Das, learned counsel appearing on instructions of Shri SP Roy, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that till now no instructions have been received and without further instructions and steps to be taken, they are not in a position to continue with this matter.

Also heard Shri SK Chakraborty, learned Standing Counsel, FCI and Ms. K. Dey, learned counsel appearing on instructions of Shri BD Goswami, learned Additional Advocate General, Arunachal Pradesh.

It appears that the petitioners have lost interest in pursuing this matter. Accordingly, the petition is closed due to want of prosecution.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant