

GAHC040018032024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/256/2024

Daktor Tedo
Son of Shri Ayung Tedo, resident of Panggo Village, PO and PS Tuting, Upper Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The Union of India and 7 Ors
represented by the Secretary, Ministry of Defence, Govt of India, South Block, New Delhi 110011 2:The Commanding Officer
Age: 0
Occupation :
8th Maratha LI C/o 99 APO
Tuting 911608

3:The Secretary
Age: 0
Occupation :
Department of Land Management
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

4:The Deputy Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
Upper Siang District
Yingkiong
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

5:The Additional Deputy Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
Upper Siang District
Tuting.

6:The District Land Revenue and Settlement Officer
Age: 0
Occupation :
Upper Siang District
Yingkiong.

7:The Principle Director
Age: 0
Occupation :
Defense Estates
Eastern Command
Kolkata

8:The Defense Estate Officer
Age: 0
Occupation :
Itanagar
model Village Naharlagun
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Along Tabang,

Advocate for the Respondent : Marto Kato, Dugmar Kamduk, SC (Land Management), DSGI, GA (AP)

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE**

ORDER

Date : 19.12.2024

Heard Mr. A. Tabang, learned counsel for the applicants. Also heard Mr. R. H. Nabam, learned Additional Advocate General for the State and Mr. D. Kamduk, learned Standing Counsel for the Land Management Department representing respondent No.3.

This is an application praying for impleadment of the Principal Director, Defence Estates, Eastern Command, Kolkata and the Defence Estate officer,

Itanagar, Model Village Naharlagun as party respondents No.7 and 8 in the connected writ petition being WP(C)508(AP)2024.

Having considered the nature of grievance raised in the connected writ petition and the grounds for impleadment, as well as also considering that the prayer not being objected to by the learned counsel for the respondents, I deem it appropriate to allow this application as the proposed respondents appears to be a necessary parties in the connected writ proceedings.

Accordingly, the authorities/officers, namely, *the Principal Director, Defence Estates, Eastern Command, Kolkata and the Defence Estate officer, Itanagar, Model Village Naharlagun* are allowed to be impleaded as respondents No.7 and 8 in the connected WP(C) No.508(AP)2024.

The I.A. stands allowed and disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant