

GAHC040002702018



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IAI(C)/254/2024

Tacho Techhi and 8 Ors

Age: 58

Occupation :

Address: Son of Taso Techhi

Village Pakro

PO Veo

PS Seppa

Circle Pijarang

East Kameng District

Arunachal Pradesh.

2: Radhe Tayem

Age: 42

Occupation :

Address: Son of Late Tagumbo Tayeng

Village Taroyer

PO Veo

PS Seppa

Circle Pijarang

East Kameng District

Arunachal Pradesh.

3: Rojo Natung

Age: 46

Occupation :

Address: Son of Tagom Natung

Village Sede

PO Veo

PS Seppa

Circle Pijarang

East Kameng District

Arunachal Pradesh.

4: Dera Tachang

Age: 47
Occupation :
Address:Son of Nikpi Tachang
Village Pakro
PO Veo
PS Seppa
Circle Pijarang
East Kameng District
Arunachal Pradesh.

5: Rajiv Tok
Age: 40
Occupation :
Address:Son of Basang Tok
Village Pakro
PO Veo
PS Seppa
Circle Pijarang
East Kameng District
Arunachal Pradesh.

6: Dogum Tech
Age: 29
Occupation :
Address:Son of Taling Tech
Village Pakro
PO Veo
PS Seppa
Circle Pijarang
East Kameng District
Arunachal Pradesh.

7: Laying Tech
Age: 34
Occupation :
Address:Son of Sotek Tachang
PO Veo
PS Seppa
Circle Pijarang
East Kameng District
Arunachal Pradesh.

8: Lagung Natung
Age: 33
Occupation :
Address:Son of Baga Natung
Village Peo
PS Seppa

Circle Pijarang
East Kameng District
Arunachal Pradesh.

9: Techu Hemu
Age: 58
Occupation :
Address: Son of Sotek Tachang
Village Niti Darlong
PO Seijosa
PS Seijosa
East Kameng District
Arunachal Pradesh.
VERSUS

The Secretary and Anr
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address: Ministry of Road Transport and Highway
Govt of India
Transport Bhawan No.1 Parliament Street
New Delhi 110001
2: The Superintending Engineer
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address: Ministry of Road Transport and Highway
Govt of India
Regional Office Itanagar
House No. 522
Milan Nagar
Gutlung
Tezpur
Assam 784001

Advocate for : N Rama
Advocate for : DSGI appearing for The Secretary and Anr: Advocate , appearing for 2,
respectively.

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR

ORDER

Date : 18.12.2024

Heard Mr. N. Rama, learned counsel for the applicant.

The present interlocutory application has been filed by the applicant praying for impleading 2(two) respondents in the connected writ petition, however, on perusal by this Court, it is seen that the opposite party No.1, has not been correctly described. The applicant has to implead the Union of India, not the Secretary of the concern department as a party respondent.

In view of the above, the learned counsel for the applicant submits that he be permitted to withdraw the present interlocutory application with a liberty to file the same.

Accordingly, prayer is accepted.

Interlocutory application stands dismissed on withdrawal.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant