

GAHC040016922024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/581/2024

Smti Yaya Bomjen
Daughter of Late Gibom Bomjen, resident of Village Nari, PO and PS nari, District
Lower Siang, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The Additional Deputy Commissioner and Anr
Nari, Lower Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh 791125

2:The Sub Divisional Officer
Age: 0
Occupation :
Nari
Lower Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh 79112

Advocate for the Petitioner : Chorpok Modi, Nannu Yubi, Wanglat Khomrang, Tame Rimi, M
Lego, Maji Dui, Nabam Chatum, N Tania, K Gara, Uttam Deka

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP),

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ROBIN PHUKAN

ORDER

Date : 11-12-2024

Heard Mr. C. Modi, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. G.
Ete, learned Additional Senior Government Advocate for the respondents.

2. In this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuing direction to the respondent authorities [the Additional Deputy Commission (ADC)-cum-the Sub-Divisional Officer (SDO), Nari] to dispose of the complaint/representation submitted by the petitioner on 13.11.2024, by a speaking order.
3. Mr. Modi, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the order of this Court, dated 06.06.2024, passed in CRP No. 54/2023, she had dismantled the gate and complied with the said order and the ADC also visited the site and also confirmed, compliance of the order and taking advantage of the spot visit of the staff and during absence of the petitioner, one *Smti Jumbom Koyu*, had illegally removed the iron fencing posts in the name of the staff and in connection with the said action of *Smti Jumbom Koyu*, the petitioner has lodged one complaint with the ADC-cum-SDO, Nari, on 13.11.2024 to initiate legal action, but the same has not yet been attended to by the ADC-cum-SDO, Nari.
- 3.1. Mr. Modi, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this writ petition can be disposed of by directing the ADC-cum-SDO, Nari, the respondents No. 1 & 2 herein, to dispose of the representation, dated 13.11.2024, filed by the petitioner.
4. Ms. G. Ete, learned Additional Senior Government Advocate, also agreed to the submissions, so advance by Mr. C. Modi, learned counsel for the petitioner.
5. Taking note of the submissions of the learned Advocates of both the parties and also considering the facts and circumstances on the record, this Court is inclined to dispose of this writ petition, by directing the respondent

authorities to dispose of the representation filed by the petitioner on 13.11.2024, by a reasoned order, preferably, within a period of 1 (one) month, from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order. The petitioner shall obtain a certified copy of this order and place the same, before the respondent authorities, within a period of 1 (one) week from today.

6. The parties have to bear their own cost.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant