

GAHC040016672023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/206/2023

Smti Likha Yager
W/o Shri Likha Tania of Village Peji Taib PO/PS Yazali, District Lower Subansiri,
Arunachal Pradesh Phone No. 8837213510

VERSUS

The State of AP and 10 Others
Represented by Secretary, Department of Panchayat Raj, Itanagar.

2:The Deputy Commissioner

Age: 0

Occupation :

Ziro

Lower Subansiri District

PO/PS Yazali

Arunachal Pradesh.

3:The Circle Officer cum Member Secretary

Age: 0

Occupation :

2/6 Kuchut Gram Panchayat

Yazali

PO/PS Yazali

(AP)

4:Chukhu Dokho

Age: 0

Occupation :

GPM

02/06/007 Tekir Segment Lichlith Village

PO/PS Yazali

District Lower Subansiri
Arunachal Pradesh.

5:Likha Abu
Age: 0
Occupation :
GPM
2/6/5
PO/PS Yazali District Lower Subansiri
Arunachal Pradesh.

6:Debia Sakha
Age: 0
Occupation :
GPM
02/06/09 Rat Taib Segment
Taib Village
PO/PS Yazali
District Lower Subansiri
Arunachal Pradesh.

7:Taug Ashu
Age: 0
Occupation :
GPM
02/06/02 Dili Segment
Delipeji Village
PO/PS Yazali
District Lower Subansiri
Arunachal Pradesh.

8:Likha Tai
Age: 0
Occupation :
GPM 02/06/01 Rawa Dili Segment
Delipeji Village
PO/PS Yazali
District Lower Subansiri
Arunachal Pradesh.

9:Licha Topu
Age: 0
Occupation :
GPM 02/06/06 Lichlith Village
PO/PS Yazali

District Lower Subansiri
Arunachal Pradesh.

10:Licha Yaya
Age: 0
Occupation :
GPM 02/06/03 kuckkuth village PO/PS Yazali
District Lower Subansiri
Arunachal Pradesh.

11:Likha Yaja
Age: 0
Occupation :
GPM 02/06/04 khompu Nyoli Segment
kuch kuth village
PO/PS Yazali
District Lower Subansiri
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Nabam Talin

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP)

BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE KAKHETO SEMA
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR

ORDER

Date : 21.02.2024
(K. Sema, J)

Heard Mr. Kago Taja, learned counsel for the applicant, Mr. S. Tapin, learned Sr. Government Advocate for the respondent No. 1, 2 & 3 and Ms. L. Niri, learned counsel for the respondent No. 4 to 11.

The present application has been filed under section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 praying for condonation of delay of 32 days in filing the connected writ appeal.

The ground explaining the delay has been set out in paragraph-4 & 5 of the application. We have perused the application more particularly, paragraph-4 & 5 and we are satisfied that the delay has been satisfactorily explained. The delay of 32 days in filing the connected writ appeal is accordingly condoned.

The application stands disposed.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant