

GAHC040016562024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/239/2024

All Arunachal Tribal Rural Panchayat Restoration Andolan Committee and Anr
H.Q Naharlagun, A Sector, Arunachal Pradesh, Represented by its President Shri Maji
Tayem a Permanent Resident of Village Kamnum, PO/PS Seppa, District East
Kameng, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The Union of India and 6 Ors
Represented by its Secretary, Environment and Forest, Govt. of India, New Delhi
110001 2:The State of Arunachal Pradesh
Age: 0
Occupation :
Represented by the Chief Secretary
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Civil Secretariat
Itanagar
Arunachal Pradesh.

3:the Commissioner/Secretary
Age: 0
Occupation :
Department of Environment and Forest
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Civil Secretariat
Itanagar
Arunachal Pradesh
PIN 791111

4:The Chief Conservator of Forest
Age: 0
Occupation :
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Tezu

PO and PS Tezu
Lohit District
Arunachal Pradesh.

5:The Chief Conservator of Forests
Age: 0
Occupation :
Easter Arunachal Pradesh Circle
Tezu
PO/PS Tezu
Arunachal Pradesh.

6:The Deputy Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
Namsai District
PO/PS Namsai
Arunachal Pradesh. PIN 792103

7:The Divisional Forest Officer
Age: 0
Occupation :
Namsai Forest Division
Namsai
PO and PS Namsai
District Namsai
Arunachal Pradesh 79210

Advocate for the Petitioner : Abhai Kumar Singh,

Advocate for the Respondent : Marto Kato, GA (AP),DSGI

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ROBIN PHUKAN
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR

ORDER

03.12.2024

(N. Unni Krishnan Nair, J.)

Heard Mr. A.K. Singh, learned counsel for the applicants/petitioners and Mr. N. Ratan, learned Additional Advocate General of Arunachal Pradesh for all the

opposite parties/respondents.

Mr. Singh, learned counsel for the applicants has submitted that the connected PIL is being sought to be withdrawn with a view to institute a fresh proceeding assailing the Inquiry Report dated 09.03.2024, brought on record by the opposite parties/respondents by way of an additional affidavit dated 03.06.2024.

On considering the said submission, we are of the considered view that for the purpose of bring on record the materials to counter the contentions raised in the said Inquiry Report, the PIL would not be called for to be withdrawn and the petitioner would be at liberty to bring on record the pleadings in the PIL itself, by way of filing an additional affidavit to assail the findings of the enquiry report.

In that view of the matter, the instant interlocutory application stands closed.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant