

GAHC040016322024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/232/2024

M/s S S Infrazone Pvt Ltd
Ramsing Village, PO and PS Jengging, Upper Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh.
represented by its power of attorney holder Shri Oni Pangkam, Son of Shri Alo
Pangkam, resident of Village Ramsing, PO and PS Jengging, Upper Siang District,
Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

Smti Gimén Apang
Wife of Shri Taying Nokar, resident of Ramsing Village, PO and PS Jengging, Upper
Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh.

Advocate for the Petitioner : Oyina Perme,

Advocate for the Respondent : Adam Tatak,

Linked Case : WP(C)/485/2024

Smti Gimén Apang
Age: 41
Occupation :
Address: Wife of Shri Taying Nokar
resident of Ramsing Village
PO and PS Jengging
Upper Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and 5 Ors
Age: 0

Occupation :
Address:represented by the Chief Secretary
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar 791111

2:The Secretary
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:Department of Geology and Mining
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar
791111

3:The Member Secretary
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:Arunachal Pradesh State Pollution Control Board
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Naharlagun 791110

4:The Deputy Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:Yingkiong
Upper Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh 791002

5:The Divisional Forest Officer
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:Yingkiong Forest Division
Upper Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh 791002

6:M/s S S Infrazone Pvt Ltd
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:represented by Shri Darshan Singh
having its registered office at Ramsing Village
Jengging
Upper Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh 791002

Advocate for : Adam Tatak
Advocate for : GA (AP) appearing for The State of AP and 5 Ors: Advocate ,
appearing for 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, respectively.

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE**

ORDER

Date : 23-12-2024

Heard Mr. T. Tatak, learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Ms. A. Talom, learned counsel appearing for the opposite party and Mr. Y. Riram, learned State counsel appearing for the State respondents.

Mr. T. Tatak, learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has instructions not to press this interlocutory application. Therefore, he prays that the application may be allowed to be withdrawn.

Prayer is allowed.

Accordingly, interlocutory application stands dismissed on withdrawal.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant