

GAHC040015012024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : PIL/21/2024

Mating Tunar

Son of Late Tunar Tara, residence of Bangte Village, PO and PS Palin, Kra Daadi District, Arunachal Pradesh 791118 presently working as Advocate cum Social Activist in the Kra Daadi District, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The State of AP and 5 Ors

represented through the Chief Secretary, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh Civil Secretariat 791111 Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh. 2:The Principal Secretary/ Commissioner PWD

Age: 0

Occupation :

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh Civil Secretariat 791111 Arunachal Pradesh.

3:The Chief Engineer PWD

Age: 0

Occupation :

Central Zone- A

Itanagar 791111

Arunachal Pradesh.

4:The Superintending Engineer PWD

Age: 0

Occupation :

Yachuli Civil Circle

PO and PS Yachuli

Keyi Panyor District

Arunachal Pradesh 791120

5:The Executive Engineer

Age: 0

Occupation :

PWD Palin Division

PWD
Kra Daadi District
Arunachal Pradesh 791118

6:M/s B M Enterprise
Age: 0
Occupation :
represented by Mr. Balo Mukh
Village Raibalo
PO and PS Palin
Kra Daadi District
Arunachal Pradesh 791118 presently residing at A Sector Naharlagun
PO and PS Naharlagun
Arunachal Pradesh 79111

Advocate for the Petitioner : Uttam Deka, Michi Tara, Nannu Yubi, Wanglat Khomrang, Tame Rimi, Chorpok Modi

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP),

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ROBIN PHUKAN
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR

ORDER

09.12.2024

(Robin Phukan, J.)

Heard Mr. U. Deka, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. N. Ratan, learned Additional Advocate General of Arunachal Pradesh for the State respondents.

Pursuant to order of this court, dated 04.12.2024, Mr. Ratan has produced a report of the respondent authorities before this court.

We have perused the report and it appears that the contractor has started some works. It also appears from the record that the time of completion of the project is till 2026. On such count, approaching this court by filing the present petition appears to be an overhasty decision.

At this stage, Mr. Deka, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may

be permitted to withdraw this PIL, with a liberty to approach this court again as and when required.

We deemed it appropriate to allow Mr. Deka to withdraw this petition.

Accordingly, this PIL stands disposed of on withdrawal. However, liberty will remain with the petitioner to approach this court again by filing appropriate PIL, as and when required.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant