

GAHC040014642024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/496/2024

Dr R D Thongchi
Son of Late Nima Thongchi, permanent resident of Jigaon Village, under Khalaktang Circle, PO Rupa, PS Shergao, West Kameng District, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The Deputy Commissioner and 2 Ors
West Kameng District, Bomdila, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

2:The District Land Revenue and Settlement Officer
Age: 0
Occupation :
in the establishment of Deputy Commissioner
West Kameng District.

3:The Secretary/ Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
Land Management
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Gumto Kato, Kirmani Lollen, Nyado Karga

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP), SC (Land Management), Dugmar Kamduk

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA

ORDER

Date : 04-11-2024

Heard Mr. G. Kato, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. G. Ete,

learned Additional Senior Government Advocate for the State respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Mr. D. Kamduk, learned Standing counsel for the Land Management Department for the respondent No. 3.

2. This is an application under Section 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for a direction to the respondent authorities to dispose of the representation dated 20.09.2024, submitted by the petitioner before the Deputy Commissioner, Bomdila, West Kameng District for consideration of the application for regularization of his occupied plot of land measuring 300 sqmtrs, located at Bomdila Town, near District Hospital.

3. It is the case of the petitioner that he had occupied plot of land measuring 300 smtrs for residential purpose at Bomdila Town near District Hospital in the year 1998 and in the year 2000, he had applied for issuance of land allotment order for regularisation before the Deputy Commissioner, Bomdila, West Kameng District, but till date, the land has not been allotted to him formally. In that context, he also made a representation before the Deputy Commissioner on 20.09.2024, praying for early issuance of the land allotment order, which is yet to be disposed of.

4. Mr. G. Kato, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the writ petition may be disposed of with a direction to the concerned authorities to dispose of the representation submitted by the petitioner.

5. Ms. G. Ete, learned Additional Senior Government Advocate submitted in this regard, that she has no objection if any direction is given to the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 to consider the representation of the petitioner, which is pending

since 20.09.2024.

6. Mr. D. Kamduk, learned Standing counsel for the Land Management Department also raised no objection if the representation is disposed of by the concerned authority.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsels for the parties, this writ petition is disposed of at the motion stage itself, with a direction to the respondent authorities, more particularly, the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 to consider and dispose of the representation filed by the petitioner on 20.09.2024, in accordance with law, within a period of 30 (thirty) days from today.

8. The writ petition stands disposed of, in terms above.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant