

GAHC040014112024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/473/2024

Nabam Doni
Son of Late Nabam Chada, a permanent resident of Village Emchi, PO and PS
Doimukh, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The Rajiv Gandhi University and 3 Ors
Rono Hillsa Doimukh, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh.

2:The Registrar

Age: 0

Occupation :

Rajiv Gandhi University

Rono Hill Doimukh

Papumpare District

Arunachal Pradesh.

3:The Chairman

Age: 0

Occupation :

Grievances Redressal Committee for the Election of RGUSU academic Session
2024-25

4:Markum Charu

Age: 0

Occupation :

Son of Shri T T Charu

resident of Chimpu

Near Sangay Lhaden Sports Academy

Itanagar

Papum Pare District

Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Chorpok Modi, N Kuma,T Rahul,N Kanu,P Tatam,N Rama

Advocate for the Respondent : Regum Nabam, S/C RGU

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG**

ORDER

Date : 25-10-2024

Heard Mr. N. Rama, learned counsel for the petitioner, along with Mr. R. Nabam, learned Standing counsel for the Rajiv Gandhi University (RGU), representing the respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

2. The instant writ petition is filed challenging the Rajiv Gandhi University Students Union (RGUSU) Election, 2024-25, which was held as per the notification dated 13.09.2024.

3. The case of the petitioner is that during the election, Clause 6.3.1 of the Lyngdoh Committee Reports and Guideline, which was accepted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court for implementation, was violated since there was interference by 3 (three) persons, who are not the *bonafide* students of the said institutions. The petitioner had then filed complaints for the said violation of Clause 6.3.1 of the Lyngdoh Committee Reports and Guideline and finally a Grievance Redressal Committee of the RGUSU Election, 2024, was constituted. As per the Enquiry Report, Conclusion and decision of the Grievance Redressal Committee, it was found that the complaint seeking the revocation of the candidature of the respondent No. 4 is liable to be dismissed.

4. Aggrieved by the findings of the said Grievance Redressal Committee,

which, according to the petitioner, was not done as per Clause 6.8.12 of the Lyngdoh Committee Reports and Guideline, the petitioner had filed an appeal before the appellate authority, which is the Registrar, Rajiv Gandhi University, Rono Hill, Doimukh on 04.10.2024 within 24 hours of the decision of the Grievance Redressal Committee of the RGUSU Election, 2024. However, the appellate authority, till date has not considered the grievances/appeal filed by the petitioner and hence, the instant writ petition.

5. Mr. R. Nabam, learned Standing counsel, RGU appearing on behalf of the respondent university accepts notice on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and submits that the Appellate Authority is yet to consider and dispose of the appeal.

6. Having heard the submissions made by the learned counsels and on considering the limited prayer made by the petitioner, which is for the appeal pending before the Appellate Authority be disposed of expeditiously as per the Clause 6.8.12 of the Lyngdoh Committee Reports and Guideline, this Court finds it fit to dispose of the instant writ petition with a direction to the appellate authority, impleaded as respondent No. 2, i.e. the Registrar, Rajiv Gandhi University, Rono Hill, Doimukh to dispose of the appeal applicant, dated 04.10.2024, made by the petitioner, expeditiously, within a period of 2 (two) weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant