

GAHC040012632023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/154/2023 with
WA/28/2023

Shri Bomi Nyorak and 13 Ors.

Son of Late Pakbom Nyorak, resident of Basar, District Leparada, Arunachal Pradesh.

2: Smti Aihi Taggu

Age:

Occupation :

3: Shri Er. Tung Sono

Age:

Occupation :

4: OsemMongku

Age:

Occupation :

5: Sri Takap Lamrah

Age:

Occupation :

6: Smti Er. Millo Ampu

Age:

Occupation :

7: Sri Tana Zoma

Age:

Occupation :

8: Sri Tad Lodi

Age:

Occupation :

9: Sri Er. Kari Ete

Age:

Occupation :

10: Sri Kenge Angu

Age:

Occupation :

11: Smti Elena Gao

Age:

Occupation :

12: Sri Kapu Takar

Age:

Occupation :

13: Sri Sang Khandu Thongdok

Age:

Occupation :

14: Smti Nabam Yate

Age:

Occupation

VERSUS

The State of AP and 4 Others

represented by Chief Secretary, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar cum Chairman
Departmental Promotion Committee. 2: The Commissioner and Secretary

Age: 0

Occupation :

3: Sri Moli Tatu

Age: 53

Occupation :

4:Sri Jomba Loya
Age: 52
Occupation :

5:Sri Itum Naso
Age: 0
Occupation

Advocate for the Petitioner : Teto Taba

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP)

BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE S.K.MEDHI
HONBLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA

ORDER

22.01.2024

SK Medhi, J.

Heard Shri BJ Ghosh, learned counsel for the applicants, who by means of this interlocutory application has prayed for condonation of delay of 40 days in preferring the connected appeal.

It is submitted that the present applicants/appellants were not parties in the original WP(C)/297(AP)/2023 which was allowed by an Hon'ble Single Judge of this Court vide judgment and order dated 05.07.2023. It is further submitted that leave to prefer appeal has been granted whereafter this interlocutory application for condonation of delay has come up for consideration today.

Shri Ghosh, learned counsel by referring to the averments made in paragraph 14 of the interlocutory application has submitted that the applicants were prevented by sufficient reasons for not being able to prefer the appeal within the prescribed period of limitation.

Both Shri R Saikia, learned counsel for the opposite parties/writ petitioners as well as Shri N Ratan, learned Addl. AG, AP submit that they do not have any objection, in principle against the aforesaid prayer for condonation of delay.

After considering the causes shown, this Court is of the opinion that sufficient grounds have been able to be made out by the learned counsel for the applicants and accordingly, the instant interlocutory application for condonation of delay is allowed.

Interlocutory application accordingly stands disposed of.

The connected writ petition be listed for admission on 29.01.2024.

Judge

Judge

Comparing Assistant