

GAHC040012322024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/165/2024

Risso Kame
Son of Late Risso Hamak, R/o Hote Village, PO/PS Sangram, Dist. Kurung Kumey,
Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar 791111

VERSUS

The State of AP and 6 Ors
represented by the Chief Secretary, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar 791111
2:Jainco Enterprise Private Limited

Age: 0
Occupation :
represented by its Director
(Old address) Sangram
Kurung Kumey District
Arunachal Pradesh 791118.
(New address) SPT Panchali
near P/House Itanagar Capital
Arunachal Pradesh 791111 (New Address) KK Mundra No. 592
Welcome Tower
Opposite Modi College Road
Kota
Rajasthan
India 324009

3:The Secretary
Age: 0
Occupation :
Department of Land Management
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar 791111

4:The Deputy Commissioner
Age: 0

Occupation :
Koloriang
Kurung Kumey District
Arunachal Pradesh 791118

5:The Chief Engineer (Highway)
Age: 0
Occupation :
Public Works Department
Western Zone
Itanagar
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh 791111

6:The Union of India
Age: 0
Occupation :
represented by the Secretary
Ministry of Road and Transport and Highway
Govt of India
Transport Bhawan
No.2
Parliament Street
New Delhi 110001

7:National Highways and Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd
Age: 0
Occupation :
3rd Floor
PTI Building
4th Parliament Street
New Delhi 11000

Advocate for the Petitioner : Jyoti Zongluju,

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP), DSGI, Marto Kato

-BEFORE-

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KALYAN RAI SURANA

20.09.2024

By filing this Interlocutory Application, the applicant, who is the writ petitioner in the connected writ petition has prayed for allowing him to

amend the cause title in respect of respondent No. 2, namely, Jainco Enterprise.

Mr. T. Chisi, learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that the respondent No. 2 has been able to collect two new address of respondent No. 2 which is sought to be brought on record.

We have heard Ms. G. Ete, learned Addl. Sr. Govt. Advocate for respondent Nos. 1 & 4.

In view of the nature of explanation given, the prayer is allowed.

The applicant is permitted to bring on record the new addresses of respondent No. 2 in the connected writ petition.

This I.A. stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant