

GAHC040011522024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/388/2024

1. Mr. Barom Siram, S/o late Nyokar Siram District Adult Officer (DAEO),
Namsai District, Namsai Arunachal Pradesh
Mobile No.: 8794452967

2. Smti Murtem Mami (Gollo) R/o Yupia
PO/PS Doimukh Papum Pare District Arunachal Pradesh
Mob. No. 943605166.

Petitioners.

-VERSUS-

1. The State of Arunachal Pradesh represented by the Secretary, (Education),
Government. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

2. The Under-Secretary (Education), Government of Arunachal Pradesh,
Itanagar.

3. The Director of Secondary Education, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh,
Itanagar.

4. Sri Techhi Pratap, District Adult Officer (DAEO) Headquarter, Itanagar

P.O/P. S- Itanagar. Arunachal Pradesh.

5. Sri Kocho Jomoh District Adult Education Officer (DAEO)
East- Kameng District, Seppa, P.O/ P.S Seppa Arunachal Pradesh.

6. Sri Emin Rumi District Adult Education Officer (DAEO)
Lower Dibang Valley District, Roing
P.O/P. S Roing Arunachal Pradesh.

7. Sri Hridar Phuntsok District Officer Adult Education (DAEO),
Tawang District, Tawang PO/PS - Tawang, Arunachal Pradesh.

Advocate for the Petitioner : Nalo Pada

Advocate for the Respondent : Taba Tagum

BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE

ORDER

Date : 04.09.2024

Heard Mr. N. Pada, learned counsel for the petitioners and also heard Mr. T. Tagum, learned Standing Counsel for the Education Department, representing respondents No.1, 2 and 3.

2. Considering the prayer made in this writ petition for consideration of the claims and objections filed by the petitioners dated 06.02.2024 and having viewed that no prejudice would cause to the private respondents, the writ petition is disposed of at the motion stage itself.

3. The petitioners are working as District Adult Education Officers(DAEOs)

under the Education Department, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. According to the petitioners, they were superseded in regularization and promotion by the officers, who were junior to them. A draft inter-se seniority list in respect of DAEO has been circulated vide letter dated 19.01.2024 seeking claims and objections, if any, from the DAEOs including the petitioners. The next higher promotion post is Principal.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners have filed their claims and objections vide letter dated 06.02.2024 as the authorities have issued a letter to all the DAEOs to submit their claims and objections, if any, within 30(thirty) days from the date of issue of the letter by circulating the Draft Provisional Seniority List of DAEOs. In the draft inter-se seniority list, the name of the petitioners have been placed at Serial Nos.7 and 8, below the respondent No.7, who is junior to the petitioners by placing him at Serial No.4. Therefore, the learned counsel for the petitioners, submits that the respondent authorities may be directed to consider and dispose of the claims and objections filed by them dated 06.02.2024.

5. Mr. Tagum, learned Standing Counsel, Education Department has no objection for a direction to consider and dispose of the claims and objections filed by the petitioners.

6. Having considered the simple nature of prayer made by the petitioners for consideration and disposal of the claims and objections filed by the petitioners, pursuant to the letter dated 09.01.2024, seeking claims and objections to the draft inter-se seniority list of DAEOs, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition without adverting to merit of the claim. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent authorities to consider and

dispose of the claims and objections dated 06.02.2024 filed by the petitioners within a period of 30(thirty) days from today.

7. The writ petition stands disposed of, in terms above.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant