

GAHC040010762024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/138/2024

Smti Rebeka Panyang and 5 Ors
Deputy Chief Councilor cum Councilor, Ward No. 04, Pasighat Municipal Council,
Pasighat, East Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh

2: Smti Yalop Nyigang Yomso

Age:

Occupation :

Councilor

Ward No. 01

Pasighat Municipal Council

Pasighat

East Siang District

Arunachal Pradesh

3: Smti Ponung Radeng Saring

Age:

Occupation :

Councilor

Ward No. 05

Pasighat Municipal Council

Pasighat

East Siang District

Arunachal Pradesh

4: Smti Mumcy Dupak Lollen

Age:

Occupation :

Councilor

Ward No. 07

Pasighat Municipal Council

Pasighat
East Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh

5: Oken Tayeng
Age:
Occupation :
Councilor
Ward No. 08
Pasighat Municipal Council
Pasighat
East Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh

6: Kaling Doruk
Age:
Occupation :
Councilor
Ward No. 03
Pasighat Municipal Council
Pasighat
East Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and 4 Ors
represented by the Commissioner, State Election Commission, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar

2:The Director ULB
Age: 0
Occupation :
Urban Local Bodies
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar

3:The Deputy Commissioner cum District Magistrate
Age: 0
Occupation :
East Siang District
Pasighat
Arunachal Pradesh

4:The Municipal Executive Office

Age: 0
Occupation :
Pasighat Municipal Council
Pasighat
East Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh

5:Smti Okiam Moyong Borang
Age: 0
Occupation :
Chief Councilor cum Councilor
Ward No. 02
Pasighat Municipal Council
Pasighat
East Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Terji Kamchi,

Advocate for the Respondent : Ajin Apang, Sr. Advocate, GA (AP),SC (ULB),Tapi Omo,S/C State
Election Commission

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA**

ORDER

Date : 11.11.2024

Heard Mr. T. T. Tara, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that he does not wants to press this interlocutory application and accordingly, prays for withdrawal of the same.

Prayer is allowed.

In view of above, this interlocutory application stands disposed of being not press by the petitioner.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant