



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/135/2024

Yagam Bogo

Son of Late Tumya Bogo, permanent resident of Dego Kamki Village, PO and PS
Kamba, West Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

Desso Bogo

Son of Late Dedek Bogo, permanent resident of Bogo Village, Darak Circle, PO and
PS Kamba, West Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms. D. Tamuk, Kirmar Ado

Advocate for the Respondent : Tabit Tapak, Mr. A. Dalbong

BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA

ORDER

Date : 21-08-2024

Heard Ms. D. Tamuk, learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Mr. A. Dalbong, learned counsel for the opposite party.

2. This interlocutory application has been filed by the applicant Yaagam Bobo, praying for correction of an inadvertent typographical mistake, which has

crept in the Cause-title of the interlocutory application filed by the applicant for substituting his name in place of his deceased father in IA(C) No. 131/(AP)2024.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that due to inadvertence, in the Cause-title of the IA (C) No. 131/(AP)2024 instead of an application under "*Order XXII Rule 3 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 for substitution of applicant Shri Yaagam Bogo as the sole appellant in the SAO being SAO (C) No. 01(AP)2020*" it has been wrongly reflected as "*Order 1 Rule 10 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 for impleadment of the applicant Shri Yaagam Bogo as the sole appellant in the SAO being SAO (C) No. 01(AP)2020 as a necessary and interested party*" and the same requires to be corrected.

4. After hearing the learned counsels for both the sides, it appears that the error appears to be an inadvertent and unintentional error, which, for the ends of justices, is required to be rectified.

5. The prayer for rectification of the Cause-title is hereby allowed.

6. The Court Master shall replace the corrected Cause-title furnished by the applicant in IA (C) No. 131/(AP)2024.

7. This interlocutory application is accordingly disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant