

GAHC040010622024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : Cont.Cas(C)/22/2024

Miss Mary Ngadong
Daughter of Shri Jamanso Ngadong, Village 32 Mile Danglat, PO Danglat, PS Tezu,
District Lohit, Arunachal Pradesh and presently working as TGT at Govt Higher
Secondary School, Hayuliang, Anjaw District, Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

Amjad Tak and 2 Ors
IAS, Commissioner, Department of Education, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Civil
Secretariat, Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar 2:Biri Son
Age: 0
Occupation :
Deputy Director of School Education
Anjaw District
Hawai
Arunachal Pradesh

3:Chow Khetana Manno
Age: 0
Occupation :
Principal
Govt Higher Secondary School
Hayuliang
Anjaw District
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Adam Tatak, Dejum Tally, Logsang Yountan, Tonni Gammi, Tayom Gamoh, Tanur Gao, Tukrin Ligu, talom, Tsering Gyatso, Kaling Mibang

Advocate for the Respondent : Taba Tagum, Hage Tai, Likha Tabin, Rage koyu

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE**

ORDER

Date : 30.09.2024

Heard Mr. K. Mibang, learned counsel for the petitioner and also heard Mr. T. Tagum, learned counsel for the alleged contemnors.

By filing this contempt petition, the petitioner has alleged willful disobedience and violation of the order dated 12.08.2024, passed by this Court in WP(C)314/2022.

This Court on 07.08.2024, in WP(C)314/2024 has directed to maintain *status quo* in respect of the transfer of the petitioner, but on the same day, the petitioner was released to enable her to join new place of posting at GUPS, Dingliang, Anjaw District, Hawaii. The said release order having been issued during the currency of the *status quo* order, was brought on record before this Court by the petitioner. Vide order dated 12.08.2024, while permitting the petitioner to bring such document on record, considering that status quo was operative, this Court provided that the release order dated 07.08.2024 shall not be given effect to. Accordingly, the respondent authorities have revoked the order of release on 13.08.2024.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the alleged contemnors that the petitioner has been allowed to continue in her earlier place of posting at Hayuliang, immediately after the receipt of the order of this Court dated 12.08.2024 as the release order was passed on the same day when the earlier *status quo* order was passed by this Court. He further submits that the petitioner is continuing in the same place of posting and regularly she is being

paid her regular salary in the same school.

Having considered that the release order has been revoked immediately after the order of this Court on 13.08.2024, I am of the view that there is no willful disobedience of the order of this Court and no contempt of Court on the part of the respondents is made out.

Accordingly, the contempt petition stands dismissed and closed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant