

GAHC040010222024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)
Case No. : IA(C)/128/2024

The APPSC
represented by the Secretary, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh 791111
VERSUS
Hibu Tapa and Anr
Son of Late Hibu Tajang, permanent resident of Hong Village, PO and PS Lower
Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh 791120 2:Hibu Hasang
Age: 0
Occupation :
Son of Shri Hibu Lasa
permanent resident of Hong Village
PO and PS Lower Subansiri District
Arunachal Pradesh 79112

Advocate for the Petitioner : Nani Anju,

Advocate for the Respondent : Hage Lampu, D Doley, Joram Sanjay, Khyoda Moses, Gyati Tadi

:::BEFORE:::
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KALYAN RAI SURANA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE

25.09.2024

(K. R. Surana, J)

Heard Ms. N. Anju, learned counsel for the applicant as well as Mr. H. Lampu, learned counsel for the opposite parties.

2. By filing this application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963, the applicant has prayed for condoning the delay of 31 days beyond the

period of limitation in filing the connected appeal against the impugned judgment and order dated 11.06.2024 passed by the learned Single Judge in WP (C) 376 (AP) 2024.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that some time was consumed by the applicant in taking appropriate decision and they were also engaged in performing official duty like conducting of interview at Guwahati for which there was an inadvertent delay of 31 days in filing the connected appeal.

4. Although, the learned counsel for the opposite parties has objected to the prayer made by the applicant but considering the explanation given, the Court is inclined to accept the same to be a sufficient case which has prevented the applicant from filing the connected appeal on time.

5. Accordingly, the delay of 31 days beyond the period of limitation in filing the connected appeal is condoned.

6. This I.A. stands allowed.

7. Registry shall list the connected appeal in the admission column.

JUDGE

JUDGE