

GAHC040008912024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/278/2024

Mrs Sunita Flago and 4 Ors
Daughter of Lama Flago, permanent resident of Village Flago, PO Pipu, Pakke
Kessang District, Arunachal Pradesh presently residing at Biological Park Itanagar

2: Mrs Nimye Bagang
Age:
Occupation :

3: Mrs Kino Yachik
Age:
Occupation :

4: Tagiam Mosu
Age:
Occupation :

5: Mrs Anita Flago
Age:
Occupation

VERSUS

The State of AP and 2 Ors
through the Chief Conservator of Forest, Department of Environment, Forest and
Climate Change, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar

2:The Director of Biological Park Itanagar

Age: 0
Occupation :

3:The Curator of Biological Park Itanagar
Age: 0
Occupation

Advocate for the Petitioner : T Torum

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP)

**BEFORE
HONBLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG**

ORDER

Date : 22.07.2024

Heard Mr. T. Torum, learned counsel for the petitioner, who has filed this instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of the appropriate order for the regularization of the service of the petitioners who were serving as Contingency Animal Attendant at Biological Park, Itanagar against the existing vacancy post available on the basis of recommendation letter submitted by the respondent Nos. 2 & 3 before the respondent No. 1, who is the Principal Chief Conservation of Forest, Department of Environment, Forest and Climate Change Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been working as Animal Attendant at Biological Park, Itanagar for the last 4(four) years to 14(fourteen) years without break in service till date. That 6(six) numbers of the post of regular Animal Attendants are now lying vacant in the

Department and as such, the petitioners have made their representations before the respondent No. 1 for regularization of the service as enable attendant during the year 2023.

3. The learned counsel submits that a Coordinate Bench of this Court in the similar matter in WP(C) No. 361(AP)/2006 dated 12.09.2007 have regularized the services of similarly situated persons, however, till date the respondents have not attended to the representations made by them before the respondent No. 1.

4. The learned counsel submits that the instant writ petition is limited to the prayer that the representations made by the learned counsel for the petitioners before the respondent No. 1 for the regularization of the services may be attended to.

5. Ms. R. Basar, learned Government Advocate for the State respondents makes appearance and submits that since the instant writ petition is limited to the prayer that the representations made by the learned counsel for the petitioners be considered and disposed of by the respondents, she has no objection to the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner in the instant writ petition.

6. Having heard the submissions made by the learned counsel for both the parties and considering the limited prayer which is made by the learned counsel

for the petitioners, this Court finds a fit to disposed of the instant writ petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and dispose of the representations made by the petitioners for the regularization of their services due to the resultant vacancy of the instant post within a period of 3(three) months from receiving the certified through copy of the instant order.

7. With the above observation and direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant