

GAHC040008162024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/259/2024

M/s Kashmirilal Constructions Private Limited and Anr
a company having its office at 440 Khari Bazar, Ranikhet, PO Ranikhet, Almora
District, Uttarakhand 263645 2: Mimar Dirchi

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Domi Dirchi

power of attorney holder Shri Vivek Agarwal

Board of Director of M/s Kashmirilal Constructions Private Limited

permanent resident of Lipu Village

Likabali

PO and PS Likabali

Lower Siang District

Arunachal Pradesh 78711

VERSUS

The State of AP and 6 Ors

represented by the Secretary, Department of Power, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,
Itanagar 791111 2:The Chief Engineer (Power) cum State Nodal Officer

Age: 0

Occupation :

Department of Power

Vidyut Bhawan

Itanagar

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar 791111

3:The Chief Engineer (Power)

Age: 0

Occupation :

Central Electrical Zone

Department of Power

Vidyut Bhawan

Itanagar
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar 791111

4:The Superintendent Engineer
Age: 0
Occupation :
Electrical Circle No. II
Department of Power
Pasighat
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh 791002

5:The Executive Engineer (Electrical)
Age: 0
Occupation :
Likabali Electrical Division
Department of Power
Lower Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh

6:The Chairman
Age: 0
Occupation :
Price Bid Evaluation Board (Financial) for NIE T Dated 26.07.2023 for Development of Distribution infrastructure in Lower Siang District of Arunachal Pradesh under the Revamped Reforms Based and Results Linked Distribution Sector Scheme bearing Package No. SE/APEC-II/RDSS/LkED/04 amounting to Rs. 105356633.95/-

7:M/s Assam Electrical Store
Age: 0
Occupation :
RNB Road
Kokrajhar
District Kokrajhar
BTC
Assam 78337

Advocate for the Petitioner : Binter Picha, Tao Tarin, Jumgam Jini

Advocate for the Respondent : Lissing Perme, SC(Power)

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR**

ORDER

Date : 05.12.2024

Heard Mr. B. Picha, learned counsel for the petitioners. Also heard Mr. L. Perme, learned Standing Counsel for the Power Department appearing for all the respondents.

Mr. L. Perme, learned Standing Counsel, on instructions, has submitted that the earnest money as deposited by the petitioner along with his bids has been withdrawn by it subsequently after filing of the writ petition.

In view of the above position, Mr. Picha, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he be permitted to withdraw the present writ petition.

The above prayer is not objected to by the learned Standing Counsel.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed on withdrawal.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant