

GAHC040006662024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/89/2024

The Arunachal Pradesh Public Service Commission,
represented by the Secretary, Itanagar , Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The Secretary,
Home, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar

2:The Director General of Police

Age: 0
Occupation :

3:Wangrum Hosai

Age: 0
Occupation :

4:Deveso Chaitom

Age: 0
Occupation :

5:Bharat Rai

Age: 0
Occupation :

6:Gopal Tok

Age: 0

Occupation

Advocate for the Petitioner : Nani Anju

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP)

BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE KALYAN RAI SURANA
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR

ORDER

Date : 27.05.2024
(N.U.K. Nair, J)

Heard Ms. N. Anju, learned counsel for the applicant/ appellant. Also heard Mr. S. Tapin, learned Senior Govt. advocate for the opposite parties no. 1 and 2, Mr. S.K. Deuri, learned counsel for the opposite party no.3. None appears on call for the opposite party no.4.

2. The present application has been filed by the applicant seeking impleadment of Shri Bharat Rai and Shri Gopal Tok as a respondents no. 5 and 6 in the present proceedings on the ground that their names were not arrayed as respondents in the writ appeal inadvertently. However, the said persons were party respondents in the connected writ petition.

3. We have perused the contentions raised in the application and on finding that the persons sought to be impleaded were already made party respondents in the writ proceedings, we accordingly allow the applicant/ appellant to implead the said persons as respondents no. 5 and 6 in the connected writ appeal.

4. Accordingly, the present interlocutory application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant