

GAHC040004362024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : CRP/10/2024

Kamen Ringu

Son of Shri Ringu Tada, a resident of K Ringu Complex, G Extension, Naharlagun,
PO and PS Naharlagun, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

1) Kahfa Bengia and 22 Ors

Son of Late Tajak Bengia, PPA Office, Mowb-II near AG Office, PO and PS Itanagar,
Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

2) Kaling Jerang

C/o Shri Khafa Bengia, resident of Mowb-II, PO and PS Itanagar, Papumpare
District, Arunachal Pradesh

3) Lukyi Boje

Son of Late Taluk Boje, C/o K Ringu Complex, G Extension Naharlagun, PO and
PS Naharlagun, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

4) Bai Gadi

Son of Duba Gadi, Polo Colony, Naharlagun, PO and PS Naharlagun, Papumpare
District, Arunachal Pradesh

5) Pani Topo

Donyi Colony, Itanagar, PO and PS Itanagar, Papumpare District, Arunachal
Pradesh

6) Biri Joy

C/o Shri Ringu Tada, resident of K Ringu Complex, G Extension Naharlagun PO

and PS Naharlagun, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

7) Rigam Santhana

Museum Colony, Itanagar, PO and PS Itanagar, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

8) Techhi Kama

C/o K Ringu Complex, G Extension, Naharlagun, PO and PS Naharlagun, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

9) Shotike Hopak

C/o K Ringu Complex, G Extension, Naharlagun, PO and PS Naharlagun, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

10) Ha Tagong

C/o K Ringu Complex, G Extension, Naharlagun, PO and PS Naharlagun, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

11) Takam Taluk

C/o Kamen Ringu, S/o Shri Ringu Tada, resident of K Ringu Complex, G Extension, Naharlagun, PO and PS Naharlagun, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

12) Dorjee Khandu

Banderdewa, PO and PS Banderdewa, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

13) Biri Hanu

C/o K Ringu Complex, G Extension, Naharlagun, PO and PS Naharlagun, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

14) Bamang Taram

C/o K Ringu Complex, G Extension, Naharlagun, PO and PS Naharlagun, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

15) Apali Gyadi

Nyokum Lapang, Itanagar, PO and PS Itanagar, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

16) Tarh Atung

C/o K Ringu Complex, G Extension, Naharlagun, PO and PS Naharlagun,

Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

17) Tai Tadap

Chandan Nagar, Itanagar, PO and PS Itanagar, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

18) Niktar Tamo

Donyi Colony Itanagar, PO and PS Itanagar, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

19) Nangram Tajuk

C/o K Ringu Complex, G Extension, Naharlagun, PO and PS Naharlagun, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

20) Engam Lado

C/o K Ringu Complex, G Extension, Naharlagun, PO and PS Naharlagun, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

21) Ijum Tally

C/o K Ringu Complex, G Extension, Naharlagun, PO and PS Naharlagun, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

22) Bamik Karcho

C/o K Ringu Complex, G Extension, Naharlagun, PO and PS Naharlagun, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

23) Biter Tally

C/o K Ringu Complex, G Extension, Naharlagun, PO and PS Naharlagun, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Sishir Dutta
T. Y. Bhutia

Advocate for the Respondent : Pritam Taffo
E. Menjo

**BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA**

ORDER

Date : 28.08.2024

Heard Mr. S. Dutta, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Ms. T. Y. Bhutia, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. R. Ingo, learned counsel representing the respondent Nos. 3, 4, 5, 7 to 23 as well as Mr. E. Menjo, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. P. Taffo, learned counsel representing the respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

Ms. S. Dutta, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that an amicable settlement has reached between the parties and to that effect, an agreement has also been executed between them on 27.08.2024 and in view of the settlement reached between the parties, the petitioner does not want to further pursue this matter and prays for withdrawing the present civil revision petition.

Considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the parties, the prayer is allowed.

With the above observation, this civil revision petition is dismissed on withdrawal.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant