

GAHC040003362024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WA/9/2024

M/s Haniya Enterprise
represented by its proprietor Shri Tarh Kina, permanent resident of Arung Village, PO
Mengio, PS Sagalee, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh 791119

VERSUS

The State of AP and 5 Ors
represented by the Secretary, Education, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar 791111
2:The Commissioner

Age: 0
Occupation :
Education
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar 791111

3:The Executive Engineer
Age: 0
Occupation :
Engineering Wing
Department of Education
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar 791111

4:The Chairman
Age: 0
Occupation :
Tender Evaluation Board
Engineering Wing
Department of Education
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar 791111

5:M/s Jumsi Enterprise

Age: 0
Occupation :
proprietor Smti Nabam Aka
having its registered office at Doye building
Vivek Vihar
PO Itanagar
Papumpare District
Arunachal Pradesh- 791111

6:M/s Durga Store
Age: 0
Occupation :
Proprietor Shri Taba Laji
having its registered office at Near PTC Banderdewa
PO and PS Banderdewa
Papumpare District
Arunachal Pradesh 79112

Advocate for the Petitioner : Parswajyoti Das Nair

Advocate for the Respondent : Taba Tagum

:: BEFORE ::

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHIVJYOTI SAIKIA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR

O R D E R

14.03.2024.

(N. U.K. Nair, J)

Heard the learned counsel Mr. P.D. Nair appearing for the appellant.
Also heard Mr. T. Tagum, the learned Standing Counsel, Education
Department, Government of Arunachal Pradesh.

2. The present intra-Court appeal is preferred against an order dated
07.02.2024 passed in WP(C) No.48 (AP)/2024 to the extent that the

learned Single Judge while issuing notice in the matter, had not issued an interim direction, as has been prayed for.

3. On perusal of the order dated 07.02.2024, it is seen that the notice issued therein was made returnable after 4(four) weeks.

4. More than four weeks have since elapsed in the matter and it is stated at the Bar that the respondents would be preferring affidavit in the writ petition, invariably, without fail, by 20.03.2024.

5. Mr. Nair, accordingly, submits that the writ petition be requested to be taken for final consideration.

6. Accordingly, without entering into the issue as raised in the present appeal, the writ appeal is closed with a request to the learned Single Judge to consider the issue for final disposal, if otherwise not inconvenienced, on 26.03.2024.

7. Parties, accordingly, may appear before the learned Single Judge on 26.03.2024.

8. Registry is also directed to list the writ petition being WP(C) No.48 (AP)/2024 before the appropriate Single Bench on 26.03.2024.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant