



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**  
**(ITANAGAR BENCH)**

**Case No. : AB/32/2024**

Tagam Jenpen @ Bogam Jenpen  
Son of Late Tapor Jenpen, resident of Village Nemasibo, PO Monigong, PS Tato, Shi  
Yomi District, Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP  
represented by the PP of AP

**Advocate for the Petitioner : S K Deori**

**Advocate for the Respondent : P P of AP**

**BEFORE**  
**HONBLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR**

**ORDER**

**Date : 06-03-2024**

Heard Mr. D. Mazumdar, learned Senior counsel assisted by Mr. S. K. Deori, learned counsel for the accused/applicant. Also heard Ms. L. Hage, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State of Arunachal Pradesh.

Apprehending his arrest in connection with MNG/P.S Case No. 01/24, under Sections 465/466/467/468/472/473 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, this Anticipatory Bail application under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal

Procedure, 1973 has been preferred by the accused applicant, namely, *Shri Tagam Jenpen @ Bogam Jenpen*.

The Case Diary has been received and the same has been duly perused.

The materials as available in the Case Diary reveals that the accused applicant had duly appeared before the Investigating Officer in terms of the directions of this Court vide order dated 21.02.2024. Further taking into consideration the statements of the witnesses as recorded by the Investigating Officer as well as the submissions of the learned counsels for the parties in the present proceedings, this Court is of the view that the custodial interrogation of the accused applicant is not warranted and accordingly, the interim pre-arrest bail granted by this Court vide order dated 21.02.2024, is hereby made absolute subject to the following conditions:

- (i). The accused/applicant shall invariably without fail appear before the Investigating Officer on each and every date as and when he would be so called for the purpose of investigation.
- (ii). The accused/applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.
- (iii). In the event, any of the above noted conditions are violated by the accused/applicant, the Investigating Officer and/or any other authority shall be at liberty to approach the appropriate Court for cancellation of the privilege of bail so granted.

The anticipatory bail application accordingly stands disposed of.

The Case Diary be sent back, forthwith.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**