

GAHC040001272019



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/121/2019

SHRI TADOM MIBANG
S/O LT. TARUNG MIBANG

VERSUS

SHRI SWADIN NOKAR and 35 Ors.
S/O APYANG NOKAR, VILLAGE SIKATODE, PO/PS SILLE OYAN, DIST. EAST
SIANG, AP 2:SHRI TAGO SIRAM
HGB

Age: 0
Occupation :

3:SHRI TATE TASING
GB
Age: 0
Occupation :

4:SHRI TALONG GAO
GB

Age: 0
Occupation :

5:SHRI APANG JAMOH

Age: 0

Occupation :

6:SHRI OBANG GAO

Age: 0

Occupation :

7:SHRI TALO GAO

Age: 0

Occupation :

8:SHRI DEEPAK SIRAM
TEACHER

Age: 0

Occupation :

9:Tani Tasing

Age: 0

Occupation :

10:Sadin Gao

Age: 0

Occupation :

11:Kaling Tasing

Age: 0

Occupation :

12:Tajong Gao

Age: 0

Occupation :

13:Tajom Gao

Age: 0

Occupation :

14:Oyang Siram

Age: 0

Occupation :

15:Nalo Tasing (Ex ASM)

Age: 0

Occupation :

16:Tapit Komut (ASI
APP)

Age: 0

Occupation :

17:Taber Taduk

Age: 0

Occupation :

18:Vicky Saroh

Age: 0

Occupation :

19:Kaling Jamoh

Age: 0

Occupation :

20:Tagong Komut

Age: 0

Occupation :

21:Tabiram Jamoh

Age: 0

Occupation :

22:Taga Jamoh

Age: 0

Occupation :

23:Taken Saroh

Age: 0

Occupation :

24:Talik Gao

Age: 0

Occupation :

25:Taram Mingki

Age: 0

Occupation :

26:Talik Taggu

Age: 0

Occupation :

27:Takir Gao

Age: 0

Occupation :

28:Tatem Jamoh

Age: 0

Occupation :

29:Yamoti Mingki

Age: 0

Occupation :

30:Takep Tate

Age: 0

Occupation :

31:Oyin Tate (Teacher)

Age: 0

Occupation :

32:Tapang Mingki

Age: 0

Occupation :

33:Yalung Tate

Age: 0

Occupation :

34:Tagemang Tatak

Age: 0

Occupation :

35:Tatem Jamoh

Age: 0

Occupation :

36:Gonir Jamoh

Age: 0

Occupation

Advocate for the Petitioner : T Kamchi

Advocate for the Respondent :

BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR

ORDER

Date : 19-07-2024

Heard Mr. J. Jini, learned counsel for the applicant and Mr. V. Jamoh, learned counsel for all the respondents No.1 to 36.

The learned counsel for the petitioner after arguing the matter at some length has submitted that he be permitted to withdraw the present interlocutory application, more particularly, in view of the disclosures as made in the affidavit filed by the respondents No.1 to 36 herein with liberty to pursue the appeal presently stated to be pending disposal in the files of Deputy Commissioner, East Siang District at Pasighat.

The said submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed to by the learned counsel for the respondents.

In view of the above, the present interlocutory application stands closed on withdrawal, however, with a liberty to the parties to proceed in the matter in terms of the law holding the field.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant