

GAHC040000512024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/6/2024 In
PIL/16/2023

Silen Valley Peoples Welfare Forum and Anr
represented by its Chairman, Shri Taisen Malling Cherom, Head Quarter Daporijo,
Upper Subansiri District, AP 2: All Arunachal Pradesh Students Union (AAPSU)

Age:

Occupation

VERSUS

The State of AP and 8 ors
represented by the Secretary, Department of Land Management, Govt of AP
2:The Deputy Commissioner

Age: 0

Occupation :

3:The Directorate of Land Managementn

Age: 0

Occupation :

4:The Ministry of Road Transport and Highways (MORTH)

Age: 0

Occupation :

5:The Ministry of Environment
Forest and Climate Change

Age: 0

Occupation :

6:The Border Roads Organisation (BRO)

Age: 0
Occupation :

7:The General Reserve Engineer Force
Age: 0
Occupation :

8:M/s Agnitio Infrastructuer Pvt Ltd
Age: 0
Occupation :

9:M/s Eptisa Servicesios DEE Intgenicria
Age: 0
Occupation

Advocate for the Petitioner : A Sharma

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP)

BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE S.K.MEDHI
HONBLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA

ORDER

22.01.2024

SK Medhi, J.

Heard Shri A Sarma, learned counsel for the applicants/writ petitioners, who by means of the application, has sought for impleadment of the two new incumbents as the respondent nos. 10 and 11.

Also heard Shri RH Nabam, learned Addl. Advocate, General, AP as well as Shri M Kato, learned DSGI, who submit that they do not have any objection, in principle to the prayer for impleadment.

In view of the above, considering that these two incumbents may have a

role in the dispute raised, the present interlocutory application is allowed and the two incumbents, namely, 1. Chief Engineer, Project Arunank, Naharlagun, P.O. & P.S.-Naharlagun, District : Papum Pare, Arunachal Pradesh, Pin: 931719, Email Id: bro.nic.in and 2. Commander, 23 BRTF (GREF), Daporijo, C/o 99 APO, P.O. & P.S.: Daporijo, District: Upper Subansiri, Arunachal Pradesh, Pin-930023, Email Id: Bro.nic.in. are impleaded as the respondent nos. 10 and 11.

The interlocutory application accordingly stands disposed of.

Judge

Judge

Comparing Assistant