

GAHC040000072024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/2/2024

Kanjum Eshi
D/o Nyaker Eshi, resident of Eshi village, PO Basar, Leparada District, AP

VERSUS

1.The State of AP and 9 Others Represented through the Chief Secretary), Civil Secretariat, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar, P.O Itanagar, Pin 791111

2:The Chairman High Power Committee on Pay Anomalies Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh C/o Chief Secretary Civil Secretariat Itanagar P.O Itanagar Pin 791111

3:The Members High Power Committee C/o Chief Secretary Civil Secretariat Itanagar P.O Itanagar Pin 791111

4:The Member the High Power Committee C/o Chief Secretary Civil Secretariat Itanagar P.O Itanagar Pin 791111

5:The Principal Secretary, Department of Health and Family Welfare Civil Secretariat Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. Itanagar P.O Itanagar Pin 791111

6:The Special Secretary, Department of Health and Family Welfare Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh Civil Secretariat Itanagar Page No.# 11/12 P.O Itanagar Pin 791111

7:The Commissioner/Secretary Department of Health Services and Family Welfare Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh Civil Secretariat Itanagar P.O Itanagar Pin 791111

8:The Secretary Finance cum Chairman High Power Committee Govt. of Arunachal

Pradesh P.O Itanagar Pin 791111

9:The Secretary, Department of Personal cum Monitoring and Operation Govt. of
Arunachal Pradesh Civil Secretariat Itanagar P.O Itanagar Pin 791111

10:The Director, Department of Health Services Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Naharlagun P.O Naharlagun Pin 791111

Advocate for the Petitioner : Tayum Son

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP)

BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA

ORDER

Date : 05-01-2024

Heard Mr. T. Son, the learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Ms K. Wangmu, the learned Government Advocate for State respondents No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 8 and 9 and Mr. R. Koyu, the learned counsel appearing for Mr. T. Tagum, the learned Standing Counsel for the Health Department, respondents No.5, 6, 7 and 10.

This interlocutory application has been filed praying for the applicant to be included or to be added in the array of petitioners in WP(C) 553(AP)/2023.

Mr. T. Son, the learned counsel for the applicant submits that

due to over sight although the applicant was one of the person to authorise the present counsel to undertake the present proceeding, the name of the applicant was not included in the list of petitioners. Therefore, he refers to the provision of Order 1 Rule 9 C.P.C to seek an order from this Court for including her name as a petitioner in WP(C) 553(AP)/2023.

The learned counsels for the respondents do not have any objection to the prayer made by the applicant.

Accordingly, considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the applicant and also on a careful perusal of the pleadings, the applicant is permitted to be added as a petitioner in WP(C) 553(AP)/2023. The cause which is urged by the petitioners in WP(C) 553(AP)/2023 and the cause sought to be urged on behalf of the present applicant are one and the same therefore, this Court considers it appropriate to permit the applicant to be included in WP(C) 553(AP)/2023, as a petitioner.

Interlocutory application accordingly, stands allowed. The applicant be included as petitioner No.48 in WP(C) 553(AP)/2023.

The learned counsel for the applicant will file amended cause title before the Registry.

Interlocutory application is accordingly, allowed and disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant