



Serial No. 10
Regular List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

WP(C) No. 170 of 2024

Date of order: 25.09.2024

1. JC-353549N Ex Sub/Cipher Laxman Ram Jat
2. JC-354242P Nb Sub/Cipher Rajeevan M
3. JC-354577M Ex Nb Sub/Cipher Ajit Kumar TN
4. AR-232Y Ex Commandant Sasendran CK
5. 355500W Warrant Officer/Cipher Presanna Kumar V
6. 354867K Ex Warrant Officer/Cipher Birendra Kumar
7. JC-354414 Hav/Cipher Raghuveer Singh
8. JC-354948K Hav/Cipher Vishnu Namboodiri AS
9. JC-354144P Hav/Cipher P S Kaladharan
10. JC-354136 Ex Sub/Cipher Prasad KG
11. JC-353593X Hav/Cipher Ramachandran Nair E.J.
12. JC-357047X Ex Hav/Cipher Gracious M Thomas
13. 356884 Ex Hav/Cipher Pawan Rana
14. 357342 Ex Hav/Cipher Mihir Biswas
15. 357874N Ex Hav/Ciph Sentimon Mathew
16. 353928K Ex Hav/Ciph Shaji R
17. 354785F Ex Hav/Ciph Pradeep Kumar Verma
18. JC-351168N Ex Subedar/Cipher, Mahakanta Jha

...Petitioners

- Versus -

1. The Union of India represented by the Secretary to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi-110001
2. The Director General of Assam Rifles, Head Quarter Directorate General Assam Rifles, Shillong, Meghalaya.
3. The Brigadier (Pers), Head Quarter Mahanideshalaya, Assam Rifles, Shillong, Meghalaya-11

...Respondents

**Coram:****Hon'ble Mr. Justice H.S. Thangkhiew, Chief Justice (Acting)****Appearance:**

For the Petitioner : Mr. B. Pathak, Adv.

For the Respondents : Dr. N. Mozika, DSGI with
Ms. K. Gurung, Adv.

i)	Whether approved for reporting in Law journals etc.:	Yes/No
ii)	Whether approved for publication in press:	Yes/No

ORAL:

At the outset, Dr. N. Mozika, learned DSGI assisted by Ms. K. Gurung, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the grievances of the writ petitioners are being redressed and to complete the process, four months' time will be required.

2. It is to be noted that this matter is similar to WP(C) No. 61 of 2024 which had been disposed of by this Court vide order dated 01.05.2024 directing the respondents to complete the process of grant of ACP/MACP within a period of four months. The attention of this Court has also been drawn to paragraph 9 of the affidavit filed by the respondents whereby it has been categorically stated as follows:

“9. ...The petitioners name have been included in sr No. 612, 82, 4, 646, 979, 803, 770, 873, 789, 768, 797, 705, 901, 1160, 1136, 806, 978 and 936 respectively in the ibid order. Therefore, the grievances of the petitioners have already been resolved and this Writ Petition is become infructuous and liable to be dismissed.”



3. Further, it has also been mentioned in paragraph 15 of the said affidavit with regard to redressal of the grievances of the writ petitioners.

4. In this view of the matter, nothing survives for consideration and the respondents are expected to complete the entire exercise within a period of four months from the date of this order.

5. Accordingly, this matter stands disposed of.

Chief Justice (Acting)