

**HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA**

Cont.Cas(C) No.55 of 2024

Sri Subhash Chandra Biswas

..... Petitioner(s);

Versus

Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another

..... Respondent(s).

Cont.Cas(C) No.56 of 2024

Sri Dibakar Choudhury

..... Petitioner(s);

Versus

Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another

..... Respondent(s).

Cont.Cas(C) No.57 of 2024

Sri Sekhar Bhattacharjee

..... Petitioner(s);

Versus

Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another

..... Respondent(s).

Cont.Cas(C) No.58 of 2024

Smt. Santi Rani Kalai

..... Petitioner(s);

Versus

Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another

..... Respondent(s).

Cont.Cas(C) No.59 of 2024

Sri Pranab Nandi

..... Petitioner(s);

Versus

Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another

..... Respondent(s).

Cont.Cas(C) No.60 of 2024

Sri Dipak Kumar Nandi

..... Petitioner(s);

Versus

Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another

..... Respondent(s).

Cont.Cas(C) No.61 of 2024

Sri Bhaskar Debbarma

..... Petitioner(s);

Versus

Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another

..... Respondent(s).

Cont.Cas(C) No.62 of 2024

Sri Dilip Acharjee

..... Petitioner(s);

Versus

Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another

	 Respondent(s).
Cont.Cas(C) No.63 of 2024	
Sri Kilal Ch. Laskar	
	 Petitioner(s);
Versus	
Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another	
	 Respondent(s).
Cont.Cas(C) No.64 of 2024	
Sri Shimal Chandra Saha	
	 Petitioner(s);
Versus	
Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another	
	 Respondent(s).
Cont.Cas(C) No.65 of 2024	
Sri Badal Kumar Choudhuri	
	 Petitioner(s);
Versus	
Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another	
	 Respondent(s).
Cont.Cas(C) No.66 of 2024	
Sri Nitai Majumder	
	 Petitioner(s);
Versus	
Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another	
	 Respondent(s).
Cont.Cas(C) No.67 of 2024	
Sri Jalal Ahmed	
	 Petitioner(s);
Versus	
Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another	
	 Respondent(s).
Cont.Cas(C) No.68 of 2024	
Sri Bijoy Choudhury	
	 Petitioner(s);
Versus	
Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another	
	 Respondent(s).
Cont.Cas(C) No.69 of 2024	
Smt. Milan Chakraborty	
	 Petitioner(s);
Versus	
Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another	
	 Respondent(s).
Cont.Cas(C) No.70 of 2024	
Smt. Ratna Roy	
	 Petitioner(s);
Versus	
Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another	
	 Respondent(s).

Cont.Cas(C) No.71 of 2024	
Smt. Suchitra Paul (Saha)	 Petitioner(s);
Versus	
Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another	 Respondent(s).
Cont.Cas(C) No.72 of 2024	
Sri Salil Deb	 Petitioner(s);
Versus	
Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another	 Respondent(s).
Cont.Cas(C) No.73 of 2024	
Smt. Geeta Paul (Biswas)	 Petitioner(s);
Versus	
Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another	 Respondent(s).
Cont.Cas(C) No.74 of 2024	
Sri Raghunath Sarkar	 Petitioner(s);
Versus	
Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another	 Respondent(s).
Cont.Cas(C) No.75 of 2024	
Sri Samir Kumar Ghosh	 Petitioner(s);
Versus	
Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another	 Respondent(s).
Cont.Cas(C) No.76 of 2024	
Sri Gopal Chandra Sen	 Petitioner(s);
Versus	
Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another	 Respondent(s).
Cont.Cas(C) No.77 of 2024	
Sri Krishna Gopal Dey	 Petitioner(s);
Versus	
Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another	 Respondent(s).
Cont.Cas(C) No.78 of 2024	
Smt. Rubi Dutta Deb and others	 Petitioner(s);
Versus	
Dr. Shailesh Kumar Yadav and another	 Respondent(s).

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. P. Roy Barman, Sr. Advocate,
Mr. Samarjit Bhattacharjee, Advocate,
Mr. Kawsik Nath, Advocate,
Mr. Dipjyoti Paul, Advocate,
Ms. A. Debbarma, Advocate.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. A. Bhaumik, Advocate.

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. APARESH KUMAR SINGH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.D. PURKAYASTHA

Order

01/10/2024

These contempt petitions arise out of the judgment and order dated 19.02.2024 in a batch of writ appeals and writ petition led by WA No.161/2022 [*Agartala Municipal Corporation & another v. Smt. Ratna Roy & others*].

The respondents-Agartala Municipal Corporation has laid challenge to the judgment dated 19.02.2024 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) Diary No.39779/2024 and analogous appeals in which notices have been issued on 23.09.2024. The Apex Court has stayed the demand of gratuity as per Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 in the meantime.

Mr. A. Bhaumik, learned counsel appearing for the officials of the Corporation, submits that since the matter is *sub judice* before the Apex Court and there is a stay operating, no case of contempt may lie before this Court. Therefore, proceedings may be dropped.

Mr. P. Roy Barman, learned senior counsel for the petitioners, does not dispute that an interim order has been passed in the said SLP staying the demand of gratuity as per Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972.

Having regard to the aforesaid developments, since the matter is *sub judice* before the Apex Court and an interim stay has been granted, the present petitions are disposed, as no case of contempt arises at this stage.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that depending upon the outcome of the pending SLP liberty may be granted to the petitioners, to approach this Court if the orders are not complied with.

With such liberty, the instant contempt petitions are disposed of.

(S.D. PURKAYASTHA), J

(APARESH KUMAR SINGH), CJ



Pijush/

DIPESH DEB Digitally signed by DIPESH DEB
Date: 2024.10.04 16:20:54
+05'30'