

**HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA**

Cont. Cas(C) No.44 of 2024

Smt. Mousumi Sen, D/O- Sri Swapan Sen, Of Duluchhara, North Jolaibari,
P.O-Jolaibari, P.S.- South Tripura.

..... Petitioner (s).

Versus

1) Sri Rakesh Ranjan, Chairman, Staff Selection Commission, Block No.12,
CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, Near JLN Stadium, New Delhi, Delhi-110091.

2) Sri Bhakti Prasad Sonowal, Regional Director, North Eastern Regional
Office, Staff Selection Commission, Gauhati, Housefed Complex, West End
Block, Last Gate- Basistha Road, P.O.- Assam Sachivalaya, Dispur, Gauhati-
781006.

3) Sri Anish Dayal Singh, Director General, CRPF (Central Reserve Police
Force) (Recruitment Branch) East Block -07, Level-4, Sector-01, R.K.Puram,
New Delhi-110066 (Ministry of Home Affairs).

4) Sri Y.K.Rajput, DIGP, CRPF (Central Reserve Police Force), Sub RRC
Agartala at GC, CRPF, Salbagan Agartala, Tripura-799012.

..... Respondent(s).

For Petitioner (s) : Ms. R. Purkayastha, Advocate.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Bidyut Majumder, Dy. S.G.I.

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. APARESH KUMAR SINGH

ORDER

04/10/2024

Heard Ms. R. Purkayastha, learned counsel for the petitioner and
Mr. Bidyut Majumder, learned Deputy S.G.I for the respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

[2] Respondents Nos. 3 and 4 have filed an affidavit in opposition.
Respondents have issued an office order dated 30.09.2024 rejecting the
candidature of the petitioner in accordance with the existing instructions and
rules as per the Advertisement Notice F.No.PPI01/11/2022-PP_1 issued by
GOI, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pension, DoPT, SSC,
New Delhi-110003.

[3] Ms. R. Purkayastha, learned counsel for the petitioner has questioned the grounds of rejection including statements made therein to the effect that the representation made by the petitioner before the Director, CRPF (Central Reserve Police Force) (Recruitment Branch) (respondent No.5 in writ petition) was not received. Therefore, due consideration had not been accorded in the light of the observations made by this Court in the writ petition.

[4] Mr. Bidyut Majumder, learned Deputy S.G.I for the respondent Nos.2 and 3 submits that the writ petition was disposed of on the first date with certain observations and directions on the basis of the statement made by the petitioner that her representations were pending before the concerned respondent authorities which have not been considered. It is submitted that on both grounds that no such application was received in the office of the Director, CRPF (Central Reserve Police Force) (Recruitment Branch) (respondent No.5 in the writ petition) and on merits also the claim has been rejected by the competent authority. Therefore, there is no violation of the Courts order inviting contempt.

[5] This Court upon consideration of rival submissions of the parties and after going through the affidavit in opposition is of the considered view that the respondents have issued an office order rejecting the candidature of the petitioner on certain grounds. The merits of the rejection order cannot be gone into in contempt jurisdiction.

[6] Accordingly, the instant petition is disposed of. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of. Petitioner may have liberty to assail the order in an appropriate proceeding.

(APARESH KUMAR SINGH), CJ

