

**HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA
Crl.Rev.P 34 of 2024**

Sri Sandip Sinha.

.....**Petitioner(s)**

Versus

Smt. Satabdi Sinha & Anr.

.....**Respondent(s)**

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. R. Sinha, Advocate.

For the Respondent(s) : None.

Date of hearing and delivery of
Judgment & Order : 21.06.2024

Whether fit for reporting : NO.

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T. AMARNATH GOUD
J U D G M E N T & O R D E R (O R A L)**

This present Criminal Revision Petition has been filed by the husband petitioner under Section 397 read with section 401 of the Code of Criminal Procedure against the order dated 21.03.2024 passed by Learned Judge, Family Court, Kailashahar, Unakoti Tripura in connection with Case No. Crl. Misc (125) 49 of 2023 wherein the Learned Family Judge has awarded maintenance @Rs.17,000/-(seventeen thousand) per month and in addition to that amount further amount of Rs.3000/-(three thousand) is imposed for paying up the arrear maintenance. In total the sum comes to Rs.20,000/- (twenty thousand).

2. The petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs:-

- i. Admit the petition.
- ii. Call for the LC records in connection with vide No. Crl. Misc.(125) 49 of 2023 from the Court of the Ld. Judge, Family Court, Kailashahar, Unakoti Tripura.
- iii. Issue notice upon the respondent.
- iv. After hearing both sides your Lordship may be kind enough to set-aside the impugned order dated 21.03.2024 passed by the Ld. Judge, Family Court, Kailashahar, Unakoti Tripura in connection with case Crl. Misc (125) 49 of 2023 and pass further necessary order/orders as you Lordship may deem fit and proper.

3. Being aggrieved and dissatisfied with the order dated 21.03.2024 passed by the Learned Judge, Family Court, Kailashahar, Unakoti Tripura in connection with Case No.Crl. Misc (125) 49 of 2023 the present petition has been preferred by the petitioner.

4. Heard Mr. R. Sinha, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner. None appears on behalf of the respondents.

5. Mr. Sinha, learned counsel submits before this Court that his client is a member of Indian Air Force and is legally married husband/father of the respondent no. 1 and 2. Mr. Sinha, also submits that his client tried his level best to enjoy a happy conjugal life but failed to do so. According to him his client has to look after his old mother beside this he has two mess due to his outside posting and apart from this he has to bear expenses of several policies under several schemes.

6. In view of the overall analysis made by the Learned Family Court below and after going through the material evidence in its entirety, this Court is of the view that the assessment of maintenance as awarded by the learned Family Court is just and proper and needs no interference thus, the findings as arrived by the learned Family Court stands affirmed. Consequently, the present petition stands dismissed. As a sequel, miscellaneous application(s) pending if any, shall stand closed.

JUDGE

Paritosh

SABYASACHI GHOSH
Digitally signed by
SABYASACHI GHOSH
Date: 2024.06.29
13:37:10 +05'30'