

**Court No. - 4**

**Case :-** CONTEMPT APPLICATION (CIVIL) No. - 4713 of 2023

**Applicant :-** Gyan Prakash Tripathi

**Opposite Party :-** Sri Mangala Prasad Dist. Program Coordinator Dist. Magistrate Hardoi And Another

**Counsel for Applicant :-** Lakshmana Singh

**Hon'ble Rajesh Singh Chauhan,J.**

1. Heard Sri Lakshmana Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. Sri Lakshmana Singh has stated that in terms of the order of Writ Court dated 01.12.2020 passed in Writ Petition No. 23103(SS) of 2020, the enquiry has not been concluded, however vide order dated 22.06.2021 (Annexure no. 10 ), the District Development Officer, Hardoi has written letter to the Deputy Commissioner, Labour Employees, Manrega, Hardoi to the effect that no exercise can be undertaken in the case of the petitioner for the reason that the substantial time has been passed.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the aforesaid order is not appropriate, inasmuch as if the Writ Court has passed any order, the compliance thereof should have been done.
4. Be that as it may, since the petitioner is aggrieved from the order dated 22.06.2021 (Annexure no. 10), on confronted the petitioner on the delay being caused in filing the contempt petition beyond the period so prescribed under Section 20 of the Contempt of Courts Act, the petitioner could not explain the plausible reason, therefore, this contempt petition may not be maintainable. However, learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that he may be given liberty to approach the competent authority/competent court of law to avail appropriate remedy.
5. In view of the aforesaid fact, the contempt petition is dismissed with the aforesaid liberty.

[Rajesh Singh Chauhan,J.]

**Order Date :-** 22.12.2023

kkv/