Court No. - 27

Case :- CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 14457 of 2023

Applicant :- Rajendra Kumar @ Nankau Prajapati

Opposite Party :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Home Lko.

Counsel for Applicant :- Amitabh Tripathi

Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A.

Hon'ble Brij Raj Singh,J.

1. Heard Shri Jai Karan, Advocate holding brief of Shri Amitabh Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri Ranvijay Singh, learned AGA for the State and perused the record.

2. This bail application under Section 439 of Code of Criminal Procedure has been filed by the applicant seeking enlargement on bail, in Case Crime No.86 of 2023, under Section 2/3 of U.P. Gangster and Anti-Social Activities (Prevention) Act, 1986, Police Station Naseerabad, District Raebareli.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the instant case on the basis of three cases shown in the gang chart and the applicant has been enlarged on bail in the aforesaid cases by the court below, which orders have been annexed as Annexures 3 to 5 to the bail application. It is submitted that there are three more cases and in that too the applicant has been released on bail which is Annexures 8 and 9. it has been explained satisfactorily in para 9 of the bail application.

4. Learned counsel submitted that the applicant is in jail since 13.11.2022 and one year has passed from the date of detention. Lastly, it is contended by the learned counsel for applicant that the applicant shall not abscond and will fully cooperate in the proceedings. The applicant shall not tamper with the evidence nor influence the witnesses in any manner.

5. On the other hand, learned A.G.A. opposed the prayer for bail, but could not dispute the aforesaid fact that three cases have been shown in the gang chart and in all three cases, the applicant has been released on bail.

6. Considering the submissions of learned counsel for parties, material available on record, contents of F.I.R., other relevant documents, nature of offence, gang chart and the fact the applicant has been granted bail in all three cases which are shown in the gang chart, argument that period of detention of applicant is more than one year, this Court finds that condition of Section 19(4) of the Gangster

Act is properly complied with, the applicant is not guilty of such offence, therefore, he is entitled to be released on bail.

7. Let the applicant, namely, **Rajendra @ Nankau Prajapati** be released on bail in the above case crime number on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 229-A of the Indian Penal Code.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence proclamation under Section 82 Cr.P.C. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 174-A of the Indian Penal Code.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. It is made clear that the observations made in this order are limited to the purpose of determination of this bail application and will in no way be construed as an expression on the merits of the case. The Trial Court shall be absolutely free to arrive at its independent conclusions on the basis of evidence led unaffected by anything in this order.

Order Date :- 8.12.2023

Pks

Digitally signed by :-
POOJA SETH
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad,
Lucknow Bench