

Court No. - 12

Case :- APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 11883 of 2023

Applicant :- Arvind Mishra

Opposite Party :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home,
Lucknow

Counsel for Applicant :- Sarvesh Kumar Pandey, Pradeep
Maurya

Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A.

Hon'ble Rajeev Singh, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in criminal history of the applicant, total 13 criminal cases has been registered, details of which is as under:-

a. Case Crime No. 180/2022, under Sections 457, 380, 411 I.P.C., Police Station- Hasanganj, District Lucknow;

b. Case Crime No. 207/2022, under Sections 380, 411 I.P.C., Police Station- Alambagh, District Lucknow;

c. Case Crime No. 60/2022, under Sections 454, 380, 411 I.P.C., Police Station- Sairpur, District Lucknow;

d. Case Crime No. 263/2022, under Sections 457, 380, 411 I.P.C., Police Station- Aliganj, District Lucknow;

e. Case Crime No. 404/2022, under Sections 457, 380, 411 I.P.C., Police Station- Madiyaon, District Lucknow;

f. Case Crime No. 128/2022, under Sections 457, 380, 411 I.P.C., Police Station- Vikas Nagar, District Lucknow;

g. Case Crime No. 415/2022, under Sections 457, 380, 411 I.P.C., Police Station- Krishna Nagar, District Lucknow;

h. Case Crime No. 413/2022, under Sections 457, 380, 411 I.P.C., Police Station- Madiyaon, District Lucknow;

i. Case Crime No. 117/2022, under Sections 457, 380, 411 I.P.C., Police Station- Vikas Nagar, District Lucknow;

j. Case Crime No. 451/2022, under Sections 457, 380, 411 I.P.C., Police Station- Madiyaon, District Lucknow;

k. Case Crime No. 228/2022, under Sections 457, 380, 411 I.P.C., Police Station- Mahanagar, District Lucknow;

l. Case Crime No. 460/2022, under Sections 457, 380, 411 I.P.C., Police Station- Madiyaon, District Lucknow;

m. Case Crime No. 156/2022, under Sections 457, 380, 411 I.P.C., Police Station- Hasanganj, District Lucknow.

3. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that furnishing of two sureties in all 13 different cases is almost impracticable as the applicant is facing hardship and he will not be able to arrange the same to get bail.

4. It is further submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that in similar circumstances, namely, in the case of ***Hani Nishad @ Mohammad Imran @ Vikky Vs. The State of Uttar Pradesh [SLP (Crl.) No.8914-8915/2018]*** the Hon'ble Apex Court vide order dated 29.10.2018 has permitted the petitioner/applicant to submit personal bonds and two sureties of heavy amount to hold good in all the cases.

5. Considering the aforesaid fact, the application (u/s 482 Cr.P.C.) is ***disposed of*** and it is directed that the applicant shall furnish a personal bond and two sureties in one case which shall be treated to be valid in all other cases wherein the bail order has been passed.

Order Date :- 7.12.2023

V. Sinha