

Court No. - 7

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 10025 of 2023

Petitioner :- Awadh Bihari Yadav

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Education
And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vikas Maurya, Vinod Kumar Srivastava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Abdul Moin, J.

Heard Shri Vinod Kumar Maurya, learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel for respondents no. 1 to 3 and Shri Saurabh Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri S. S. Rajawat, learned counsel for respondents no. 4 to 6.

With the consent of learned counsel appearing for the contesting parties, the writ petition is being finally decided.

The instant writ petition has been filed praying for mandamus commanding the respondents to re-fix the basic pay of the petitioner correctly and to issue corrigendum pension payment order.

After arguing the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with regard to the reliefs sought in the writ petition, the petitioner may be permitted to submit a fresh representation before the respondent no.4 i.e. District Basic Education Officer, District- Sultanpur which may be directed to be decided within a time frame fixed by this Court to which learned counsels for the respondents have no objection.

In view of the above, it is provided that in case the petitioner submits a representation within two weeks from today before respondent no.4 then respondent no.4 shall endeavour to decide the same by means of a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law and the relevant rules within a further period of six weeks from the date of submission of the representation along with certified copy of this order.

With the aforesaid direction, writ petition is **disposed of**.

It is made clear that this Court has not gone into the merits of the claim set forth by the petitioner.

Order Date :- 22.12.2023

Mohit Singh/-