

Court No. - 3

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 10465 of 2023

Petitioner :- M/S Proline Properties Pvt. Ltd. Lko. Thru.
Authorized Signatory Mr. Rudra Pratap Singh

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin./Addl.Chief Secy.
Housing And Urban Planning Deptt. U.P. Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Purnendu
Chakravarty, Shivanshu Goswami

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ratnesh Chandra

Hon'ble Vivek Chaudhary, J.

Hon'ble Manish Kumar, J.

Vakalatnama filed by Sri Shailendra Singh Chauhan, Advocate on behalf of Lucknow Development Authority, is taken on record.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the record.

Petitioner has approached this Court challenging the order dated 04.10.2023, whereby his representation is rejected by the respondents.

Counsel for the petitioner states that there are no reasons given in the said order for rejecting the objection raised by the petitioner with regard to calculation of the amount demanded.

Perusal of the order says that the same is decided only on the basis of office report of the Development Authority, without considering any of the objections of the petitioner, thus, the impugned order dated 04.10.2023 cannot stand and is hereby set aside.

Respondent no. 7/Office-Incharge, Commercial Section, Lucknow Development Authority, Lucknow is directed to decide the objection of the petitioner in accordance with law by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a period of six weeks.

In the result, writ petition is allowed.

(Manish Kumar, J.) (Vivek Chaudhary, J.)

Order Date :- 4.12.2023

Nitesh