

Court No. - 3

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 10395 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ram Dhari And Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Revenue
Deptt. U.P. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Daya Shankar Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Mohan Singh

Hon'ble Vivek Chaudhary,J.

Hon'ble Manish Kumar,J.

1. Heard learned counsel for parties.
2. Petitioners have filed present writ petition claiming that respondent nos.2, 3 and 4, i.e. administrative and police authorities in connivance has taken over possession of the disputed property of petitioners and handed over the same to respondent no.6.
3. Learned counsel for petitioners relies upon Annexure-10, which is an application given by the other side claiming his possession over the property in dispute. There is also an FIR dated 1.11.2023 lodged by the other side that the petitioners are trying to interfere in his possession.
4. Therefore, there are disputed question of facts with regard to possession. There is nothing on record to show that any of the administrative or police authorities in any manner have interfered with the possession of petitioners.
5. In view thereof, present writ petition is ***consigned to record*** with liberty to the petitioners to file a suit or take appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[Manish Kumar,J.] [Vivek Chaudhary,J.]

Order Date :- 4.12.2023

Sachin