

Court No. - 9

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 10481 of 2023

Petitioner :- Raghunandan Singh

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Lok Nirman
Vibhag Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Arun Pratap Singh, Ripu Daman
Shahi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Rajan Roy,J.

Hon'ble Manish Kumar,J.

Heard.

The petitioner has approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India apprehending widening of road only on one side to the extent of 21 meters whereas, according to him as per the decision contained in Annexure no.3, the said widening was to take place on both sides to the extent of 10.5 meters each. This prejudices him as his land would get utilized if the road is widened only on one side as is being done at present.

Let the petitioner approach the concerned opposite parties who may have a say in the matter raising his grievance which may be looked into as per law after getting the facts verified and appropriate decision be taken at the earliest say within one month.

At this stage, Sri R.D. Shahi, learned counsel for the petitioner says that Annexure no.4 has already been submitted. He says that it is the District Magistrate who is the main authority to take a decision in the matter. If it is so then the District Magistrate shall take the decision as aforesaid. However, if some other authority is competent then he shall forward the matter to the said authority who shall act upon it accordingly.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of.

(Manish Kumar,J.) (Rajan Roy,J.)

Order Date :- 2.12.2023

Shanu/-