

Court No. - 20

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 9033 of 2023

Petitioner :- Lal Bahadur Singh And 3 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Deptt. Of Rural Engineering Lko. And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Akshat Kumar, Sanjay Kumar Srivastava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Manish Mathur, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for petitioners and learned State Counsel for opposite parties.
2. Petition has been filed seeking a direction to opposite parties to consider case of petitioners for granting of one annual increment on their being retiring on 30th June of respective years.
3. Learned counsel has placed reliance on judgment rendered by this court in the case of P.P. Pandey versus State of U.P. and others, writ petition No. 18375 (S/S) of 2020 which has been followed by another coordinate Bench of this Court in the case of Nand Vijay Singh and others versus Union of India and other, Writ A No. 13299 of 2020 which has been quoted with approval by the Supreme Court in the case of The Director (Admn. and HR) KPTCL & others versus C.P. Mundinamani and others reported in 2023 Live Law (SC) 296.
4. Learned State Counsel also does not dispute the fact that petitioners are squarely covered by the aforesaid judgment.
5. Considering the said factors, a writ in the nature of Mandamus is issued commanding the opposite parties to grant benefit of judgment rendered by this Court in the case of P.P. Pandey (supra) with regard to grant of one annual increment to which petitioners had become admissible on 1st July of respective years of retirement.
6. Resultantly, the petition succeeds and is allowed at the admission stage itself. Parties to bear their own cost.

Order Date :- 23.11.2023

kvg/-