

Court No. - 3

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 9946 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ram Chandra

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home, Civil
Sectr. Lucknow And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Jyoti Prakash

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Vivek Chaudhary,J.

Hon'ble Manish Kumar,J.

1. Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the State.
2. Petitioner has approached this Court claiming that there is a chak road, which is being closed by private respondents.
3. Learned Standing Counsel, however, submits that the said raasta is not a chak road.
4. Be that as it may, since the petitioner claims that it is a chak road, he has an alternative remedy of filing a an application under Section 26 of the U.P. Revenue Code, 2006. It shall be open for the authority/court concerned to look into the merits of the case as to whether the said road is a chak road or any other road including the jurisdiction of the court.
5. This Court has not gone into the merits of the case and all questions are left open to be decided by the authority/court concerned in accordance with law.
6. Present writ petition stands ***disposed of***.

[Manish Kumar,J.] [Vivek Chaudhary,J.]

Order Date :- 9.11.2023

Sachin