

**Court No. - 16**

**Case :-** CRIMINAL MISC ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 438

CR.P.C. No. - 2575 of 2023

**Applicant :-** Renu Chaudhary

**Opposite Party :-** State Of U.P Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Deptt. Civil Sectr. Lko.

**Counsel for Applicant :-** Subhash Chandra Yadav

**Counsel for Opposite Party :-** G.A.

**Hon'ble Subhash Vidyarthi,J.**

1. Heard Sri Subhash Chandra Yadav, the learned counsel for the applicant as well as Sri Arpit Kumar, the learned Additional Government Advocate appearing on behalf of the State and perused the records.

2. The instant application has been filed by the applicant seeking anticipatory bail in F.I.R. bearing Case Crime No.469 of 2022, under Sections 366, 368, 354, 506 I.P.C., registered at Police Station Gomti Nagar, District Lucknow.

3. The aforesaid case has been registered on the basis of an F.I.R. lodged on 13.07.2022 against one Abhilash Chaudhary @ Rahul, and Deepak Kumar stating that named accused Abhilash had developed relationship with the informant and he deceitfully taken her to his home at Ghaziabad where his mother (the applicant) was also present. FIR states that when the informant raised hue and cry, the applicant asked the accused-Abhilash to drop her back to Lucknow.

4. In the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. she merely stated that the applicant had asked her to marry Abhilash but when she declined, the applicant stated that she would be dropped at Lucknow.

5. In the affidavit filed in support of the anticipatory bail-application, it has been contended that the applicant is innocent, she has no criminal history and she has falsely been implicated in the present case. Another co-accused-Madhvi Mishra, who is said to be Mami of

the accused-Abhilash has been granted bail vide order dated 16.10.2023 by learned Special Judge, CBI-4, Lucknow.

6. The learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for bail but he could not dispute the aforesaid aspects of the matter.

7. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and without making any observations which may affect the outcome of the case, I am of the view that the aforesaid facts are sufficient for making out a case for granting anticipatory bail to the applicant.

8. In view of the above, the anticipatory bail application of the applicant is **allowed**. In the event of arrest/ appearance of **applicant-Renu Chaudhary** before the learned Trial Court in the aforesaid case crime, she shall be released on anticipatory bail on her furnishing personal bond and two solvent sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of S.H.O./Court concerned on the following conditions and subject to any other conditions that may be fixed by the Trial Court:

(i). That the applicant shall appear before the trial court on each date fixed, unless personal presence is exempted;

(ii). That the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

(iii). That the applicant shall not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witness;

**(Subhash Vidyarthi, J.)**

**Order Date :- 8.11.2023**  
prateek