

Court No. - 3

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 9822 of 2023

Petitioner :- Meera Shukla

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Deptt. Revenue
Civil Sectt. Lko And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Pankaj Pandey

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,A.S.G.I.,Sarvesh Kumar
Dubey

Hon'ble Vivek Chaudhary,J.

Hon'ble Manish Kumar,J.

1. Heard learned counsel for petitioner, learned Standing Counsel for the State, Sri Ambrish Rai, learned counsel for the Union of India and Sri Vimlesh Kumar, learned counsel for NHAI.
2. The petitioner claims that compensation paid by NHAI for acquisition of her land is not sufficient.
3. Learned counsel for NHAI raises a preliminary objection that petitioner has an alternative remedy of filing an application under Section 3G(5) of the National Highways Act.
4. With the aforesaid liberty, present writ petition is ***consigned to record***. However, in case such an application is filed by petitioner, the Arbitrator shall consider and decide the same expeditiously in accordance with law after hearing all parties concerned.

[Manish Kumar,J.] [Vivek Chaudhary,J.]

Order Date :- 7.11.2023

Sachin