

Court No. - 3

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 9829 of 2023

Petitioner :- Bhanu Pratap Singh

Respondent :- U.O.I. Thru. Ministry Of Road Transport And Highways, New Delhi And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Amit Kumar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- A.S.G.I.,C.S.C.,Sarvesh Kumar Dubey

Hon'ble Vivek Chaudhary,J.

Hon'ble Manish Kumar,J.

1. Heard learned counsel for petitioner, learned Standing Counsel for the State, Sri Ambrish Rai, learned counsel for the Union of India and Sri Vimlesh Kumar, learned counsel for NHAI.

2. Petitioner has approached this Court claiming that as per reports dated 7.8.2023 and 17.8.2023 submitted by the competent authority/Chief Revenue Officer, Sultanpur to the Project Office of NHAI, land of petitioner is also taken by laying down of National Highway 330A.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that despite such reports, representation of petitioner for proper compensation is not being considered and decided. He further submits that grievance of petitioner would be sufficiently met in case representation of petitioner for the said purposes is considered and decided in a time bound manner.

4. Learned counsel for respondents have no objection to the same.

5. In view thereof, petitioner is permitted to make a fresh detailed representation to respondent no.4-Project Director, National Highways Authority of India, Unit N.H. No.330A (Jagdishpur to Ayodhya), Kamla Bhawan Hanumant Puram, Tripula Chauraha, District Raebareli, raising all his grievance, annexing therewith a copy of this writ petition along with annexures and all the documents in support of his claim within a period of two weeks from today along with a certified copy of this order.

6. In case such a representation is moved by petitioner, respondent no.4 shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law after taking into consideration the

aforesaid reports dated 7.8.2023 and 17.8.2023 by a reasoned and speaking order within a period of two months from the date, a certified copy of this order along with representation is placed before him.

7. It is made clear that this court has not applied itself on the merits of the case and all questions are left open to be considered and decided by the competent authority in accordance with law.

8. With the aforesaid directions, present writ petition is ***disposed of***.

[Manish Kumar,J.] [Vivek Chaudhary,J.]

Order Date :- 7.11.2023

Sachin