Court No. - 7

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 8696 of 2023

Petitioner :- Radhey Shyam Gupta
Respondent :- Union Of India Thru. Secy. Deptt. Of Telecommunications Mini. Comm. New Delhi And 2 Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Anil Kumar Yadav,Shashank Singh
Counsel for Respondent :- A.S.G.I.,Krishna Chandra Srivastava

Hon'ble Abdul Moin,J.

1. Heard Ms. Nandini Yadav holding brief of Sri Anil Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri Anand Dwivedi, learned counsel for Union of India-respondent no.1, and Sri Uttam Kumar Srivastava holding brief of Sri Krishna Chandra Srivastava, learned counsel appearing for the respondents no.2 and 3.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is being decided finally.

3. Instant writ petition has been filed by a retired employee of ITI praying for payment of privileged leave encashment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner after arguing at some length submits that with regard to the relief sought in the writ petition, the petitioner may be permitted to submit a fresh representation before respondent no.3 which may be directed to be decided within a time frame fixed by this Court to which learned counsels for the respondents have no objection.

5. In view of the above, it is provided that in case the petitioner submits a representation within two weeks from today before respondent no.3 then respondent no.3 shall endevour to decide the same by means of a reasoned and speaking order within a further period of four weeks from the date of submission of the representation along with certified copy of this order.

6. It is also provided that in case any amount is found admissible to the petitioner then the same would also be paid to the petitioner.

7. With the aforesaid direction, writ petition is disposed off.

8. It is made clear that this Court has not gone into the merits of the case.

Order Date :- 7.11.2023
A. Katiyar

Digitally signed by :-
AMIT KATIYAR
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad,
Lucknow Bench