

Court No. - 17

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 9792 of 2023

Petitioner :- Jagdish Singh

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Ziladhikari
Ayodhya, Distt. Ayodhya And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ram Nath
Pandey, Phool Badan Singh, Rahul Srivastava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Prashant
Kumar Srivastava

Hon'ble Alok Mathur, J.

1. Heard Shri Ram Nath Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Standing Counsel on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner at the very outset prays that he may be permitted to make District Magistrate, Ayodhya as respondent no.4.
3. The respondents do not object to the said prayer and, accordingly, the petitioner is directed to make the District Magistrate, Ayodhya the respondent no.4 during the course of the day.
4. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner who is a farmer, has taken a loan through 'Kisan Credit Card' from the Regional Branch of the Bank of Baroda, Tikri, Ayodhya on 20.5.2014 for an amount of around Rs.97,000/-. For certain reasons the petitioner could not pay certain amount and, consequently, recovery proceedings were initiated against the petitioner.
5. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the State has promulgated a loan waiver scheme and the petitioner ought to have been granted the benefit of the said loan waiver scheme but the same was not granted and, accordingly, he has made a representation in this regard to the District Magistrate, Ayodhya on 11.9.2023.
6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance of the petitioner shall be substantially redressed in case opposite party

no.4, i.e., District Magistrate, Ayodhya is directed to decide the representation given by the petitioner dated 11.9.2023 expeditiously.

7. Learned Standing Counsel does not oppose the said prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

8. In light of the above, opposite party No.4 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 11.9.2023 annexed as Annexure-7 to the writ petition, in accordance with law expeditiously, say within a period of six weeks from the date a certified copy of this order is produced before him and communicate its decision to the petitioner as well as respondent no.3 – Bank. In case the representation is decided in favour of the petitioner, the respondent no.3 shall pass necessary order thereon within two weeks thereafter.

9. With the aforesaid the writ petition is ***disposed of***.

Order Date :- 7.11.2023
mks

(Alok Mathur, J.)