

Court No. - 3

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 9592 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ranjeet Kumar Pathak

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Law And Justice And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Pankaj Kumar, Birendra Pratap Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Vivek Chaudhary, J.

Hon'ble Manish Kumar, J.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the State.

Petitioner has approached this Court challenging the order dated 19.09.2023 whereby respondent no. 3 has appointed/recommended respondent nos. 5 to 6, as members of Permanent Lok Adalat, Gonda under Section 22 B of the Permanent Lok Adalat, Gonda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner claims that petitioner has more experience and therefore, he is better suited for the said post.

Be that as it may, selection to the post in question is a discretion of respondent no. 4, who has exercised his discretion after following due process, thus, this Court cannot replace the discretion exercised by appointing authority by its own discretion. No serious allegation, fraud or illegality in the selection process or the plea that any disqualified person is selected are made in the present petition, therefore, no case for interference is made out.

Writ petition is dismissed.

(Manish Kumar, J) (Vivek Chaudhary, J)

Order Date :- 2.11.2023

Ashish