

Court No. - 3

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 9631 of 2023

Petitioner :- Sangeeta Devi

Respondent :- State Of U.P Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Civil
Secrt. Lko. And Another

Counsel for Petitioner :- Rakesh Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Vivek Chaudhary,J.

Hon'ble Manish Kumar,J.

1. Heard learned counsel for parties.

1. Present writ petition has been preferred by the petitioner for issuing a direction to the respondents to provide proper compensation to the petitioner as per Government Orders dated 28.2.2020 and 2.3.2020 with a further prayer to direct the respondents to decide the application dated 5.6.2023 moved by petitioner in this regard.

2. The case of the petitioner is that husband of petitioner had expired on 29.10.2020 and the petitioner is entitled for compensation under the Mukhyamantri Krishak Durghatana Kalyan Yojana.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that grievance of the petitioner would be sufficiently met in case claim of the petitioner is considered and decided by respondent no.2-District Magistrate, Pratapgarh in a time bound manner.

4. Learned Standing Counsel has no objection to the same.

5. In view thereof, respondent no.2-District Magistrate, Pratapgarh is directed to consider and decide the claim of petitioner for payment of compensation under the scheme, in accordance with law after hearing all parties concerned within a period of three months from the date a certified copy of this order is placed before him.

6. The writ petition stands ***disposed of***.

[Manish Kumar,J.] [Vivek Chaudhary,J.]

Order Date :- 3.11.2023

Sachin